# 7. Appendix

## 7.1. Proof of Lemma 1

*Proof.* Invoking the optimality condition for (6), we have

$$\langle \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}^*) + s \nabla D(\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{u}), \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^* \rangle \ge 0, \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X},$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}^*), \mathbf{x}^* - \mathbf{x} \rangle &\leq s \left\langle \nabla D(\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{u}), \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^* \right\rangle \\ &= s \left\langle \nabla \omega(\mathbf{x}^*) - \nabla \omega(\mathbf{u}), \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^* \right\rangle \\ &= s \left[ D(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) - D(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^*) - D(\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{u}) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

#### 7.2. Proof of Lemma 2

*Proof.* Due to the convexity of  $\theta_1$  and using the definition of  $\delta_k$ , we have

$$\theta_1(\mathbf{x}_k) - \theta_1(\mathbf{x}) \le \langle \theta_1'(\mathbf{x}_k), \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x} \rangle = \langle \theta_1'(\mathbf{x}_k, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1}), \mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x} \rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{\delta}_{k+1}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_k \rangle + \langle \theta_1'(\mathbf{x}_k, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1}), \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}_{k+1} \rangle. \tag{23}$$

Applying Lemma 1 to Line 1 of Alg.2 and taking  $D(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{2} ||\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}||^2$ , we have

$$\left\langle \theta_{1}'(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1}) + A^{T} \left[ \beta (A\mathbf{x}_{k+1} + B\mathbf{y}_{k} - \mathbf{b}) - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k} \right], \mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x} \right\rangle$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\eta_{k+1}} \left( \|\mathbf{x}_{k} - \mathbf{x}\|^{2} - \|\mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}\|^{2} - \|\mathbf{x}_{k} - \mathbf{x}_{k+1}\|^{2} \right)$$
(24)

Combining (23) and (24) we have

$$\theta_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}) - \theta_{1}(\mathbf{x}) + \left\langle \mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}, -A^{T} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k+1} \right\rangle$$

$$\stackrel{(23)}{\leq} \left\langle \theta'_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1}), \mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x} \right\rangle + \left\langle \boldsymbol{\delta}_{k+1}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{k} \right\rangle + \left\langle \theta'_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1}), \mathbf{x}_{k} - \mathbf{x}_{k+1} \right\rangle +$$

$$\left\langle \mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}, A^{T} \left[ \beta(A\mathbf{x}_{k+1} + B\mathbf{y}_{k+1} - \mathbf{b}) - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k} \right] \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \theta'_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1}) + A^{T} \left[ \beta(A\mathbf{x}_{k+1} + B\mathbf{y}_{k} - \mathbf{b}) - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k} \right], \mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x} \right\rangle +$$

$$\left\langle \boldsymbol{\delta}_{k+1}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{k} \right\rangle + \left\langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{k+1}, \beta A^{T} B(\mathbf{y}_{k} - \mathbf{y}_{k+1}) \right\rangle + \left\langle \theta'_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1}), \mathbf{x}_{k} - \mathbf{x}_{k+1} \right\rangle$$

$$\stackrel{(24)}{\leq} \frac{1}{2\eta_{k+1}} \left( \|\mathbf{x}_{k} - \mathbf{x}\|^{2} - \|\mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}\|^{2} - \|\mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}_{k}\|^{2} \right) + \left\langle \boldsymbol{\delta}_{k+1}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{k} \right\rangle +$$

$$\left\langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{k+1}, \beta A^{T} B(\mathbf{y}_{k} - \mathbf{y}_{k+1}) \right\rangle + \left\langle \theta'_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1}), \mathbf{x}_{k} - \mathbf{x}_{k+1} \right\rangle$$

We handle the last two terms separately:

$$\left\langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{k+1}, \beta A^{T} B(\mathbf{y}_{k} - \mathbf{y}_{k+1}) \right\rangle = \beta \left\langle A\mathbf{x} - A\mathbf{x}_{k+1}, B\mathbf{y}_{k} - B\mathbf{y}_{k+1} \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{\beta}{2} \left[ \left( \|A\mathbf{x} + B\mathbf{y}_{k} - \mathbf{b}\|^{2} - \|A\mathbf{x} + B\mathbf{y}_{k+1} - \mathbf{b}\|^{2} \right) + \left( \|A\mathbf{x}_{k+1} + B\mathbf{y}_{k+1} - \mathbf{b}\|^{2} - \|A\mathbf{x}_{k+1} + B\mathbf{y}_{k} - \mathbf{b}\|^{2} \right) \right]$$

$$\leq \frac{\beta}{2} \left( \|A\mathbf{x} + B\mathbf{y}_{k} - \mathbf{b}\|^{2} - \|A\mathbf{x} + B\mathbf{y}_{k+1} - \mathbf{b}\|^{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2\beta} \|\mathbf{\lambda}_{k+1} - \mathbf{\lambda}_{k}\|^{2}$$
(26)

and

$$\langle \theta'_1(\mathbf{x}_k, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1}), \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}_{k+1} \rangle \le \frac{\eta_{k+1} \|\theta'_1(\mathbf{x}_k, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1})\|^2}{2} + \frac{\|\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}_{k+1}\|^2}{2\eta_{k+1}},$$
 (27)

where the last step is due to Young's inequality. Inserting (26) and (27) into (25), we have

$$\theta_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}) - \theta_{1}(\mathbf{x}) + \left\langle \mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}, -A^{T} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k+1} \right\rangle$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\eta_{k+1}} \left( \|\mathbf{x}_{k} - \mathbf{x}\|^{2} - \|\mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}\|^{2} \right) + \frac{\eta_{k+1} \|\theta'_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1})\|^{2}}{2} + \left\langle \boldsymbol{\delta}_{k+1}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{k} \right\rangle$$

$$+ \frac{\beta}{2} \left( \|A\mathbf{x} + B\mathbf{y}_{k} - \mathbf{b}\|^{2} - \|A\mathbf{x} + B\mathbf{y}_{k+1} - \mathbf{b}\|^{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2\beta} \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k+1} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k}\|^{2},$$
(28)

Due to the optimality condition of Line 2 in Alg.2 and the convexity of  $\theta_2$ , we have

$$\theta_2(\mathbf{y}_{k+1}) - \theta_2(\mathbf{y}) + \left\langle \mathbf{y}_{k+1} - \mathbf{y}, -B^T \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k+1} \right\rangle \le 0.$$
 (29)

Using Line 3 in Alg.2, we have

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k+1} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}, A \mathbf{x}_{k+1} + B \mathbf{y}_{k+1} - \mathbf{b} \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{\beta} \langle \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k+1} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_k - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k+1} \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\beta} (\|\boldsymbol{\lambda} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_k\|^2 - \|\boldsymbol{\lambda} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k+1}\|^2 - \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k+1} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_k\|^2)$$
(30)

Taking the summation of inequalities (28) (29) and (30), we obtain the result as desired.

#### 7.3. Proof of Theorem 1

*Proof.* (i). Invoking convexity of  $\theta_1(\cdot)$  and  $\theta_2(\cdot)$  and the monotonicity of operator  $F(\cdot)$ , we have  $\forall \mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W}$ :

$$\theta(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_t) - \theta(\mathbf{u}) + (\bar{\mathbf{w}}_t - \mathbf{w})^T F(\bar{\mathbf{w}}_t) \le \frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=1}^t \left[ \theta_1(\mathbf{x}_{k-1}) + \theta_2(\mathbf{y}_k) - \theta(\mathbf{u}) + (\mathbf{w}_k - \mathbf{w})^T F(\mathbf{w}_k) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} \left[ \theta_1(\mathbf{x}_k) + \theta_2(\mathbf{y}_{k+1}) - \theta(\mathbf{u}) + (\mathbf{w}_{k+1} - \mathbf{w})^T F(\mathbf{w}_{k+1}) \right]$$
(31)

Applying Lemma 2 at the optimal solution  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = (\mathbf{x}_*, \mathbf{y}_*)$ , we can derive from (31) that,  $\forall \lambda$ 

$$\theta(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{t}) - \theta(\mathbf{u}_{*}) + (\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{t} - \mathbf{x}_{*})^{T} (-A^{T} \bar{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_{t}) + (\bar{\mathbf{y}}_{t} - \mathbf{y}_{*})^{T} (-B^{T} \bar{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_{t}) + (\bar{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_{t} - \boldsymbol{\lambda})^{T} (A \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{t} + B \bar{\mathbf{y}}_{t} - \mathbf{b})$$

$$\stackrel{(7)}{\leq} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} \left[ \frac{\eta_{k+1} \| \theta'_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1}) \|^{2}}{2} + \frac{1}{2\eta_{k+1}} \left( \|\mathbf{x}_{k} - \mathbf{x}_{*}\|^{2} - \|\mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}_{*}\|^{2} \right) + \langle \boldsymbol{\delta}_{k+1}, \mathbf{x}_{*} - \mathbf{x}_{k} \rangle \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{t} \left( \frac{\beta}{2} \|A \mathbf{x}_{*} + B \mathbf{y}_{0} - \mathbf{b}\|^{2} + \frac{1}{2\beta} \|\boldsymbol{\lambda} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{0}\|^{2} \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} \left[ \frac{\eta_{k+1} \| \theta'_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1}) \|^{2}}{2} + \langle \boldsymbol{\delta}_{k+1}, \mathbf{x}_{*} - \mathbf{x}_{k} \rangle \right] + \frac{1}{t} \left( \frac{D_{\mathcal{X}}^{2}}{2\eta_{t}} + \frac{\beta}{2} D_{\mathbf{y}_{*}, B}^{2} + \frac{1}{2\beta} \|\boldsymbol{\lambda} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{0}\|_{2}^{2} \right)$$
(32)

The above inequality is true for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , hence it also holds in the ball  $\mathcal{B}_0 = \{\lambda : ||\lambda||_2 \le \rho\}$ . Combing with the fact that the optimal solution must also be feasible, it follows that

$$\max_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathcal{B}_{0}} \left\{ \theta(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{t}) - \theta(\mathbf{u}_{*}) + (\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{t} - \mathbf{x}_{*})^{T} (-A^{T} \bar{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_{t}) + (\bar{\mathbf{y}}_{t} - \mathbf{y}_{*})^{T} (-B^{T} \bar{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_{t}) + (\bar{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_{t} - \boldsymbol{\lambda})^{T} (A\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{t} + B\bar{\mathbf{y}}_{t} - \mathbf{b}) \right\} 
= \max_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathcal{B}_{0}} \left\{ \theta(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{t}) - \theta(\mathbf{u}_{*}) + \bar{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_{t}^{T} (A\mathbf{x}_{*} + B\mathbf{y}_{*} - b) - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{T} (A\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{t} + B\bar{\mathbf{y}}_{t} - \mathbf{b}) \right\} 
= \max_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathcal{B}_{0}} \left\{ \theta(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{t}) - \theta(\mathbf{u}_{*}) - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{T} (A\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{t} + B\bar{\mathbf{y}}_{t} - \mathbf{b}) \right\} 
= \theta(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{t}) - \theta(\mathbf{u}_{*}) + \rho \|A\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{t} + B\bar{\mathbf{y}}_{t} - \mathbf{b}\|_{2}$$
(33)

Taking an expectation over (33) and using (32) we have:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\theta(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{t}) - \theta(\mathbf{u}_{*}) + \rho \|A\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{t} + B\bar{\mathbf{y}}_{t} - \mathbf{b}\|_{2}\right] \\
\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{t}\sum_{k=0}^{t-1} \left(\frac{\eta_{k+1} \|\theta'_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1})\|^{2}}{2} + \langle \boldsymbol{\delta}_{k+1}, \mathbf{x}_{*} - \mathbf{x}_{k} \rangle\right) + \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{D_{\mathcal{X}}^{2}}{2\eta_{t}} + \frac{\beta}{2}D_{\mathbf{y}_{*}, B}^{2}\right)\right] \\
+ \mathbb{E}\left[\max_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathcal{B}_{0}} \left\{\frac{1}{2\beta t} \|\boldsymbol{\lambda} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{0}\|_{2}^{2}\right\}\right] \\
\leq \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{M^{2}}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{t} \eta_{k} + \frac{D_{\mathcal{X}}^{2}}{2\eta_{t}}\right) + \frac{\beta D_{\mathbf{y}_{*}, B}^{2}}{2t} + \frac{\rho^{2}}{2\beta t} + \frac{1}{t}\sum_{k=0}^{t-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\langle \boldsymbol{\delta}_{k+1}, \mathbf{x}_{*} - \mathbf{x}_{k} \rangle\right] \\
= \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{M^{2}}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{t} \eta_{k} + \frac{D_{\mathcal{X}}^{2}}{2\eta_{t}}\right) + \frac{\beta D_{\mathbf{y}_{*}, B}^{2}}{2t} + \frac{\rho^{2}}{2\beta t} \\
\leq \frac{\sqrt{2}D_{\mathcal{X}}M}{\sqrt{t}} + \frac{\beta D_{\mathbf{y}_{*}, B}^{2}}{2t} + \frac{\rho^{2}}{2\beta t}$$

In the second last step, we use the fact that  $\mathbf{x}_k$  is independent of  $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1}$ , hence  $\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1}|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{[1:k]}}\langle \boldsymbol{\delta}_{k+1}, \mathbf{x}_* - \mathbf{x}_k \rangle = \langle \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1}|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{[1:k]}} \boldsymbol{\delta}_{k+1}, \mathbf{x}_* - \mathbf{x}_k \rangle = 0.$ 

(ii) From the steps in the proof of part (i), it follows that,

$$\theta(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{t}) - \theta(\mathbf{u}_{*}) + \rho \|A\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{t} + B\bar{\mathbf{y}}_{t} - \mathbf{b}\|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} \frac{\eta_{k+1} \|\theta'_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1})\|^{2}}{2} + \frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} \langle \boldsymbol{\delta}_{k+1}, \mathbf{x}_{*} - \mathbf{x}_{k} \rangle + \frac{1}{t} \left( \frac{D_{\mathcal{X}}^{2}}{2\eta_{t}} + \frac{\beta}{2} D_{\mathbf{y}_{*}, B}^{2} + \frac{\rho^{2}}{2\beta} \right)$$

$$\equiv A_{t} + B_{t} + C_{t}$$
(34)

Note that random variables  $A_t$  and  $B_t$  are dependent on  $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{[t]}$ .

Claim 1. For  $\Omega_1 > 0$ ,

$$Prob\left(A_t \ge (1+\Omega_1)\frac{M^2}{2t}\sum_{k=1}^t \eta_k\right) \le \exp\{-\Omega_1\}.$$
(35)

Let  $\alpha_k \equiv \frac{\eta_k}{\sum_{k=1}^t \eta_k} \, \forall k = 1, \dots, t$ , then  $0 \le \alpha_k \le 1$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^t \alpha_k = 1$ . Using the fact that  $\{\delta_k, \forall k\}$  are independent and applying Assumption 2, one has

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{t}\alpha_{k}\|\theta_{1}'(\mathbf{x}_{k},\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1})\|^{2}/M^{2}\right\}\right] = \prod_{k=1}^{t}\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left\{\alpha_{k}\|\theta_{1}'(\mathbf{x}_{k},\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1})\|^{2}/M^{2}\right\}\right]$$

$$\leq \prod_{k=1}^{t}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left\{\|\theta_{1}'(\mathbf{x}_{k},\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1})\|^{2}/M^{2}\right\}\right]\right)^{\alpha_{k}} \qquad \text{(Jensen's Inequality)}$$

$$\leq \prod_{k=1}^{t}\left(\exp\{1\}\right)^{\alpha_{k}} = \exp\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{t}\alpha_{k}\right\} = \exp\{1\}$$

Hence, by Markov's Inequality, we can get

$$\operatorname{Prob}\left(A_t \geq (1 + \Omega_1) \frac{M^2}{2t} \sum_{k=1}^t \eta_k\right) \leq \exp\left\{-(1 + \Omega_1)\right\} \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left\{\sum_{k=1}^t \alpha_k \|\theta_1'(\mathbf{x}_k, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1})\|^2 / M^2\right\}\right] \leq \exp\left\{-\Omega_1\right\}.$$

We have therefore proved Claim 1.

Claim 2. For  $\Omega_2 > 0$ ,

$$Prob\left(B_t > 2\Omega_2 \frac{D_{\mathcal{X}}M}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \le \exp\left\{-\frac{\Omega_2^2}{4}\right\}.$$
 (36)

In order to prove this claim, we adopt the following facts in Nemirovski's paper (Nemirovski et al., 2009).

**Lemma 3.** Given that for all k = 1, ..., t,  $\zeta_k$  is a deterministic function of  $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{[k]}$  with  $\mathbb{E}\left[\zeta_k|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{[k-1]}\right] = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\{\zeta_k^2/\sigma_k^2\}|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{[k-1]}\right] \leq \exp\{1\}$ , we have

(a) For 
$$\gamma \geq 0$$
,  $\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\{\gamma\zeta_k\}|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{[k-1]}\right] \leq \exp\{\gamma^2\sigma_k^2\}, \forall k=1,\ldots,t$ 

(b) Let 
$$S_t = \sum_{k=1}^t \zeta_k$$
, then  $Prob\{S_t > \Omega\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^t \sigma_k^2}\} \le \exp\left\{-\frac{\Omega^2}{4}\right\}$ .

Using this result by setting  $\zeta_k = \langle \boldsymbol{\delta}_k, \mathbf{x}_* - \mathbf{x}_{k-1} \rangle$ ,  $S_t = \sum_{k=1}^t \zeta_k$ , and  $\sigma_k = 2D_{\mathcal{X}}M, \forall k$ , we can verify that  $\mathbb{E}\left[\zeta_k | \boldsymbol{\xi}_{[k-1]}\right] = 0$  and

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\{\zeta_k^2/\sigma_k^2\}|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{[k-1]}\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\{D_{\mathcal{X}}^2\|\boldsymbol{\delta}_k\|^2/\sigma_k^2\}|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{[k-1]}\right] \leq \exp\{1\},$$

since  $|\zeta_k|^2 \le ||\mathbf{x}_* - \mathbf{x}_{k-1}||^2 ||\boldsymbol{\delta}_k||^2 \le D_{\mathcal{X}}^2 (2||\theta_1'(\mathbf{x}_k, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1})||^2 + 2M^2).$ 

Implementing the above results, it follows that

$$\operatorname{Prob}\left(S_{t} > 2\Omega_{2}D_{\mathcal{X}}M\sqrt{t}\right) \leq \exp\left\{-\frac{\Omega_{2}^{2}}{4}\right\}.$$

Since  $S_t = tB_t$ , we have

$$\operatorname{Prob}\left(B_{t} > 2\Omega_{2} \frac{D_{\mathcal{X}} M}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \leq \exp\left\{-\frac{\Omega_{2}^{2}}{4}\right\}$$

as desired.

Combining (34), (35) and (36), we obtain

$$\operatorname{Prob}\left(\operatorname{Err}_{\rho}(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{t}) > (1+\Omega_{1})\frac{M^{2}}{2t}\sum_{k=1}^{t}\eta_{k} + 2\Omega_{2}\frac{D_{\mathcal{X}}M}{\sqrt{t}} + C_{t}\right) \leq \exp\left\{-\Omega_{1}\right\} + \exp\left\{-\frac{\Omega_{2}}{4}\right\},$$

where  $\operatorname{Err}_{\rho}(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{t}) \equiv \theta(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{t}) - \theta(\mathbf{u}_{*}) + \rho \|A\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{t} + B\bar{\mathbf{y}}_{t} - \mathbf{b}\|_{2}$ . Substituting  $\Omega_{1} = \Omega, \Omega_{2} = 2\sqrt{\Omega}$  and plugging in  $\eta_{k} = \frac{D_{\chi}}{M\sqrt{2k}}$ , we obtain (10) as desired.

#### 7.4. Proof of Theorem 2

*Proof.* By the strong-convexity of  $\theta_1$  we have  $\forall \mathbf{x}$ :

$$\theta_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}) - \theta_{1}(\mathbf{x}) \leq \left\langle \theta'_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}), \mathbf{x}_{k} - \mathbf{x} \right\rangle - \frac{\mu}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{k}\|^{2}$$

$$= \left\langle \theta'_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1}), \mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x} \right\rangle + \left\langle \boldsymbol{\delta}_{k+1}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{k} \right\rangle + \left\langle \theta'_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1}), \mathbf{x}_{k} - \mathbf{x}_{k+1} \right\rangle - \frac{\mu}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{k}\|^{2}.$$

Following the same derivations as in Lemma 2 and Theorem 1 (i), we have

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{E}\left[\theta(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{t}) - \theta(\mathbf{u}_{*}) + \rho \|A\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{t} + B\bar{\mathbf{y}}_{t} - \mathbf{b}\|_{2}\right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}\left\{\frac{1}{t}\sum_{k=0}^{t-1} \left[\frac{\eta_{k+1}\|\theta_{1}'(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1})\|^{2}}{2} + \left(\frac{1}{2\eta_{k+1}} - \frac{\mu}{2}\right)\|\mathbf{x}_{k} - \mathbf{x}_{*}\|^{2} - \frac{\|\mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}_{*}\|^{2}}{2\eta_{k+1}}\right]\right\} \\ & + \frac{\beta D_{\mathbf{y}_{*}, B}^{2}}{2t} + \mathbb{E}\left[\max_{\lambda \in \mathcal{B}_{0}} \left\{\frac{1}{2\beta t}\|\lambda - \lambda_{0}\|_{0}^{2}\right\}\right] \\ & \leq \frac{M^{2}}{2t}\sum_{k=1}^{t} \frac{1}{\mu k} + \frac{1}{t}\sum_{k=0}^{t-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\mu k}{2}\|\mathbf{x}_{k} - \mathbf{x}_{*}\|^{2} - \frac{\mu(k+1)}{2}\|\mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}_{*}\|^{2}\right] + \frac{\beta D_{\mathbf{y}_{*}, B}^{2}}{2t} + \frac{\rho^{2}}{2\beta t} \\ & \leq \frac{M^{2}\log t}{\mu t} + \frac{\mu D_{\mathcal{X}}^{2}}{2t} + \frac{\beta D_{\mathbf{y}_{*}, B}^{2}}{2t} + \frac{\rho^{2}}{2\beta t}. \end{split}$$

### 7.5. Proof of Theorem 3

*Proof.* The Lipschitz smoothness of  $\theta_1$  implies that  $\forall k \geq 0$ :

$$\theta_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}) \leq \theta_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}) + \langle \nabla \theta_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}), \mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}_{k} \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|\mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}_{k}\|^{2}$$

$$\stackrel{(3)}{=} \theta_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}) + \langle \nabla \theta_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1}), \mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}_{k} \rangle - \langle \boldsymbol{\delta}_{k+1}, \mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}_{k} \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|\mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}_{k}\|^{2}.$$

It follows that  $\forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}$ :

$$\theta_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}) - \theta_{1}(\mathbf{x}) + \left\langle \mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}, -A^{T} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k+1} \right\rangle$$

$$\leq \theta_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}) - \theta_{1}(\mathbf{x}) + \left\langle \nabla \theta_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1}), \mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}_{k} \right\rangle - \left\langle \boldsymbol{\delta}_{k+1}, \mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}_{k} \right\rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|\mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}_{k}\|^{2} + \left\langle \mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}, -A^{T} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k+1} \right\rangle$$

$$= \theta_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}) - \theta_{1}(\mathbf{x}) + \left\langle \nabla \theta_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1}), \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{k} \right\rangle - \left\langle \boldsymbol{\delta}_{k+1}, \mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}_{k} \right\rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|\mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}_{k}\|^{2}$$

$$+ \left[ \left\langle \nabla \theta_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1}), \mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x} \right\rangle + \left\langle \mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}, -A^{T} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k+1} \right\rangle \right]$$

$$\leq \left\langle \nabla \theta_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1}), \mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x} \right\rangle + \left\langle \mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}, -A^{T} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k+1} \right\rangle \right]$$

$$\leq \left\langle \nabla \theta_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1}), \mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x} \right\rangle + \left\langle \mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}, -A^{T} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k+1} \right\rangle \right]$$

$$= \left\langle \boldsymbol{\delta}_{k+1}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{k+1} \right\rangle + \left\langle \mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}_{k} \right\|^{2} + \left\langle \nabla \theta_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1}), \mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x} \right\rangle + \left\langle \mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}, -A^{T} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k+1} \right\rangle \right]$$

$$= \left\langle \boldsymbol{\delta}_{k+1}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{k+1} \right\rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|\mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}_{k}\|^{2} + \left\langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{k+1}, \beta A^{T} B(\mathbf{y}_{k} - \mathbf{y}_{k+1}) \right\rangle + \left\langle \nabla \theta_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1}) + A^{T} \left[ \beta(A\mathbf{x}_{k+1} + B\mathbf{y}_{k} - \mathbf{b}) - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k} \right], \mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}_{k} \right\|^{2}$$

$$+ \left\langle \nabla \theta_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1}) + A^{T} \left[ \beta(A\mathbf{x}_{k+1} + B\mathbf{y}_{k} - \mathbf{b}) - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k} \right], \mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}_{k} \right\|^{2}$$

$$+ \left\langle \nabla \theta_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1}) + A^{T} \left[ \beta(A\mathbf{x}_{k+1} + B\mathbf{y}_{k} - \mathbf{b}) - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k} \right], \mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}_{k} \right\|^{2}$$

$$+ \left\langle \nabla \theta_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1}) + A^{T} \left[ \beta(A\mathbf{x}_{k+1} + B\mathbf{y}_{k} - \mathbf{b}) - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k} \right], \mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}_{k} \right\|^{2}$$

$$+ \left\langle \nabla \theta_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1}) + A^{T} \left[ \beta(A\mathbf{x}_{k+1} + B\mathbf{y}_{k} - \mathbf{b}) - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k} \right], \mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}_{k} \right\|^{2}$$

$$+ \left\langle \nabla \theta_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1}) + A^{T} \left[ \beta(A\mathbf{x}_{k+1} + B\mathbf{y}_{k} - \mathbf{b}) - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k} \right], \mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}_{k} \right\|^{2}$$

$$+ \left\langle \nabla \theta_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1}) + \left\langle \nabla \theta_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1}) - \nabla \theta_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1}) - \nabla \theta_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}) \right\rangle + \left\langle \nabla \theta_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}) - \left\langle \nabla \theta_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{k},$$

The last inner product can be bounded as below using Young's inequality, given that  $\eta_{k+1} \leq \frac{1}{L}$ :

$$\begin{split} \langle \boldsymbol{\delta}_{k+1}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{k+1} \rangle &= \langle \boldsymbol{\delta}_{k+1}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_k \rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{\delta}_{k+1}, \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}_{k+1} \rangle \\ &\leq \langle \boldsymbol{\delta}_{k+1}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_k \rangle + \frac{1}{2 \left( 1/\eta_{k+1} - L \right)} \| \boldsymbol{\delta}_{k+1} \|^2 + \frac{1/\eta_{k+1} - L}{2} \| \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}_{k+1} \|^2. \end{split}$$

Combining this with inequalities (26,29) and (30), we can get a similar statement as that of Lemma 2:

$$\theta(\mathbf{u}_{k+1}) - \theta(\mathbf{u}) + (\mathbf{w}_{k+1} - \mathbf{w})^{T} F(\mathbf{w}_{k+1}) \leq \frac{\|\boldsymbol{\delta}_{k+1}\|^{2}}{2(1/\eta_{k+1} - L)} + \frac{1}{2\eta_{k+1}} (\|\mathbf{x}_{k} - \mathbf{x}\|^{2} - \|\mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}\|^{2}) + \frac{\beta}{2} (\|A\mathbf{x} + B\mathbf{y}_{k} - \mathbf{b}\|^{2} - \|A\mathbf{x} + B\mathbf{y}_{k+1} - \mathbf{b}\|^{2}) + \langle \boldsymbol{\delta}_{k+1}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{k} \rangle + \frac{1}{2\beta} (\|\boldsymbol{\lambda} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k}\|_{2}^{2} - \|\boldsymbol{\lambda} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k+1}\|_{2}^{2}).$$

The rest of the proof are essentially the same as Theorem 1 (i), except that we use the new definition of  $\bar{\bf u}_k$  in (12).