# APPEARANCE-BASED GESTURE RECOGNITION IN THE COMPRESSED DOMAIN

*Shaojie Xu    Anvesha Amaravati    Justin Romberg    Arijit Raychowdhury*

School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, 30332
{kyle.xu, aamaravati3}@gatech.edu, {jrom, arijit.raychowdhury}@ece.gatech.edu

## ABSTRACT

We propose a novel appearance-based gesture recognition algorithm using compressed domain signal processing techniques. Gesture features are extracted directly from the compressed measurements, which are the block averages and the coded linear combinations of the image sensor's pixel values. We also improve both the computational efficiency and the memory requirement of the previous DTW-based K-NN gesture classifiers. Both simulation testing and hardware implementation strongly support the proposed algorithm.

***Index Terms***— gesture recognition, compressive sensing, time series classification

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND RELATED WORK

Hand gesture recognition is continuously evolving in how systems on chip (SoCs) interact with users. To achieve power efficiency, these SoCs turn into idle mode when not being used and wake up when users are detected. The detection of an user's present requires the sensor front-ends to be perpetually ON, thus making low power consumption an important design criteria. As cameras have become default devices embedded in many systems, a camera-based hand gesture recognition system is suitable for providing stimulus for system wake up.

Based on image outputs from a camera, most existing algorithms work directly in the pixel domain [1, 2]. The majority of the work can be divided into three stages. First, the hand region is extracted from the image using techniques such as background extraction, skin color detection, and contour detection. Second, the motion of the gesture is characterized by features. The common types of features include difference image, motion centroid, optical flow, and motion vectors. At the last stage, these features are sent to a classifier. Dynamic time warping (DTW) with K nearest neighbors (K-NN), hidden Markov models, and neural networks have all been implemented and showed promising result.

Aforementioned algorithms require a significant amount of energy in A/D conversion of each pixel of the image sensor. Reducing the number of sensing measurements plays an important role of energy saving. Recent development in

compressive sensing and target recognition in the compressed domain [3, 4, 5] improved the performance and energy efficiency of the overall process of data acquisition, feature extraction and recognition. These works suggest us taking coded combinations of the pixel vales and characterizing the gesture motion directly from a few compressed measurements.

In this paper, we propose an appearance-based gesture recognition algorithm for system wake up. The gesture motion is captured by a sequence of difference images. Each difference image passes through two layers of compression to reduce its resolution and to be transferred to the compressed domain. The parameters of the motion are then directly extracted from the compressed domain and used as features for classification. To the authors' knowledge, our work is the first in gesture recognition using compressive sensing techniques. We also enhance the previous DTW-based K-NN classifiers [6, 7], which allows them to cooperate with clustering and dimension reduction techniques, and therefore, improves both the computational and the memory efficiency of time series classification. In a hardware co-design, we implemented the compression in the sensor front-end and motion parameter estimation in the mixed signal domain. The testing results show significant energy saving over previous works [8, 9].

## 2. ALGORITHMS

Difference images are capable of capturing gestures containing significant motions. We pass each difference image through two layers of compression. In the first layer, the resolution is reduced by dividing the whole image into several blocks and taking the average of each block. In the second layer, we take coded combinations of these block-averaged pixels. We estimate the center of the motion directly from these compressed measurements. These motion centers are passed to a classifier for gesture recognition. Figure 1 shows the block diagram of our system.

### 2.1. Two layers of compression

Denote $F_i$ as the $i$th full resolution image output from the camera of size $W \times H$. The difference image $D_i$ (Figure 2.a) of two consecutive frames is calculated as $D_i = |F_{i+1} - F_i|$.

**Fig. 1**: Block diagram of the proposed algorithm

In the first compression layer, the difference image is divided evenly into blocks of size $B$ by $B$. The average of the pixel values in each block is taken, resulting in a block-compressed difference image of size $W/B$ by $H/B$ (Figure 2.b). We vectorize this low-resolution difference image and denote it as $y_i \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

In the second layer of compression, we chose a random matrix $\Phi$ of size $M$ by $N$ as the coded measuring matrix. Each entry of $\Phi$ is uniformly chosen from $\{+1, -1\}$. The projection of the vectorized low-resolution difference image in the compressed domain is calculated as:

$$\hat{y}_i = \Phi y_i = \Phi \Psi Y_i \tag{1}$$

Each entry in $\hat{y}_i \in \mathbb{R}^M$ is a random linear combination of all the entries in $y_i$. $Y_i$ is the vectorized original difference image $D_i$. $\Psi$ is the block averaging matrix of size $N$ by $W \times H$.



**Fig. 2**: (a) Full-resolution difference image $D_i$; (b) Block averaged difference image; (c) Matching templates in the uncompressed domain. Rectangle sizes differ among rows and centers of the rectangles differ among columns.

### 2.2. Motion center extraction in the compressed domain

In the uncompressed low-resolution domain, the hand region in the difference image can be captured by a template shown in Figure 2.c. The template (of size $W/B$ by $H/B$) has uniform non-zero values within the small rectangular region and zeros elsewhere. To locate the hand region, we construct a set of vectorized templates $X(\alpha, r)$, where $\alpha$ represents the coordinates of the center of the small rectangle, and $r$ represents different rectangle sizes. The variation in sizes is to adapt to the change of the hand size seen by the camera when users at

different locations. The center of the hand motion is extracted by solving

$$(\alpha^*, r^*) = \arg\min_{\alpha, r} ||y_i - X(\alpha, r)||_2 \tag{2}$$

The collection of templates forms a manifold in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with intrinsic parameters $\alpha$ and $r$. Using the result from [3] and [10], we can directly extract the motion centers in the compressed domain. That is, for

$$(\hat{\alpha}^*, \hat{r}^*) = \arg\min_{\alpha, r} ||\hat{y}_i - \Phi X(\alpha, r)||_2 \tag{3}$$

$(\hat{\alpha}^*, \hat{r}^*) \approx (\alpha^*, r^*)$ with high probability for some $M \ll N$. The block averaging layer reduces the possible choices of $r$, and techniques such as matched filtering can be applied to efficiently solve equation (3).

### 2.3. Train the gesture classifier

DTW-based classifiers perform well for dataset containing limited amount of samples [11]. Traditional DTW-based classifiers use DTW [12] as the distance measuring method between two sequences of different lengths, and use K-NN method for classification. The memory and computational requirements thus grow linearly with the size of training set.

To reduce the number of DTW calculations in the recognition stage, we perform K-means clustering in the training dataset to form "super samples". The distance between an individual sample and a super sample is measured using DTW. In each iteration, the super samples are updated as the average of all the samples within their clusters. DTW barycenter averaging (DBA) [13] is used as the averaging method.

The main difficulty of time series classification comes from the different lengths of the samples. We notice that DBA can find clustering centers of an arbitrary length set by the user. The pairwise matching information in DTW also provides a way to rescale the length of time sequences. Therefore, we propose a DTW length rescale algorithm, shown in Algorithm 1:

---

**Algorithm 1** DTW Length Rescaling

---

**Require:** $K$ "super samples" $\mathbf{S_1}, \mathbf{S_2}, \ldots, \mathbf{S_K}$ of length $\tau$, calculated using K-means with DTW and DBA.
**Require:** Sequence $\mathbf{T}$ to be rescaled to length $\tau$
  $\mathbf{S}^* = \arg\min DTW(\mathbf{S_k}, \mathbf{T})$

  $\mathbb{M} \leftarrow$ pairwise matching information between $\mathbf{S}^*$ and $\mathbf{T}$
  Initialize $\mathbf{T}'$ of length $\tau$
  **for** $i = 1$ to $\tau$ **do**
    **if** $S_i^*$ is matched to multiple points $T_j, T_{j+1}, \ldots, T_{j+m}$, according to $\mathbb{M}$, **then**
      $T_i' = \arg\min_{T_l \in T_j, \ldots, T_{j+m}} ||S_i - T_l||$
    **else**
      $T_i' = T_j$, where $T_j$ is the only matching point to $S_i^*$
    **end if**
  **end for**

---

Using Algorithm 1, we rescale all the training sequences to the same length $\tau$. Since each motion center in the time sequence contains both x and y coordinates, each gesture sample is now of size $2 \times \tau$. We vectorize the samples by cascading all the y coordinates after the x coordinates, transferring the time series classification problem to a traditional classification problem in $\mathbb{R}^{2\tau}$. Various dimension reduction techniques and multi-class classification algorithms can then be implemented. The block diagram of the complete training procedure is shown in Figure 3.



**Fig. 3**: Block diagram of training the gesture calssifier

### 2.4. Gesture recognition

In a real-time system, the extracted motion centers are stored in a FIFO buffer of length $L$. To recognize the gesture, we first rescale the buffer data sequence based on the learned super samples using Algorithm 1. Within this step, open-ended DTW is used for pairwise matching in order to automatically separate the gesture-like data from the noise at both ends of the buffer. The new gesture-like sequence of length $\tau$ then goes through vectorization and dimension reduction before being sent to the trained classifier.

Compared to the traditional DTW-based K-NN classifiers, our classifier significantly reduces the number of DTW calculation in the recognition stage and still being able to exploit the structure of the entire training set. In our experiments, most of the gestures can be well separated in a very low dimension, making the dimension reduction and the low-dimensional classifier computationally efficient as well.

## 3. TESTING AND RESULTS

### 3.1. Number of compressed measurements

To gain better insight for the choice of the number of compressed measurement $M$, we first explored its relationship with the accuracy of motion center extraction. We ran the extraction algorithm with one video of gesture "Z" (Figure 4.a). In the block averaging layer, difference images of size $480 \times 640$ are compressed by blocks of size $16 \times 16$. We extracted the motion centers from these block-averaged difference images by solving equation (2). Shown in Figure 4.b, the three segments of the gesture are clearly distinguished on the path of the motion centers. This result was used as the ground truth for comparing $M$.

We then used only 250 compressed measurements ($M = 250$) and the motion centers were extracted by solving equation (3), and were plotted in Figure 4.c. The apparent similarity between this plot and 4.b verifies the theory. For each value of $M$, we calculated the average motion center error per frame in the compressed domain. The "L" shape of the curve indicates that $M = 250$ is the threshold for nearly error-free motion parameter estimation, granting us another factor of 5 compression rate. This "threshold" behavior is consistent with the classic results from compressed sensing presented in [3, 4, 10]. The accurate motion center extraction in the compressed domain provides the foundation of high recognition rate.



(a)            (b)

(c)            (d)

**Fig. 4**: MATLAB simulation results for different numbers of compressed measurements $M$. (a) Hand motion of gesture "Z" diveded into three segments; (b) Motion center extracted before random projection by solving equation (2); (c) Motion center extracted from 250 compressed measurements by solving equation (3); (d) Average error of motion center extracted in the compressed domain compared with (b).

### 3.2. SKIG dataset

We tested the overall algorithm on the public-available SKIG dataset [14]. We selected 5 classes containing significant motion in the x-y plane: Circle, Triangle, Wave, Z, and Cross. In each class, we selected 70 well-illuminated samples and randomly divided them into training (55 samples) and testing (15 samples) sets. We cropped the training videos so that the gesture motion filled the entire video. Since each frame is of size $240 \times 320$, in the block compression layer, we used blocks of size 10 by 10, and we set $M = 200$ in the random projection layer.

During the training stage, we calculated 1 super sample in each gesture class. As the lengths of the gesture videos vary from 48 to 236 frame, we chose $\tau$ to be the average length 116. After rescaling and vectorizing all the training sample, we applied PCA and used the first 3 principle components.

The gesture samples were well separated in $\mathbb{R}^3$, as shown in Figure 5.a. For simplicity, we modeled each gesture class' distribution as a multivariate Gaussian. A gesture was assigned to the class with highest likelihood beyond a rejecting threshold. The resulting classifier had decision boundaries of ellipsoid shapes.



**Fig. 5**: (a) PCA embedding of SKIG training samples in $\mathbb{R}^3$; (b) PCA embedding of SKIG testing samples in $\mathbb{R}^3$

Following the proposed recognition procedure, we show the testing samples' PCA embedding in Figure 5.b. The recognition rate is shown in Table 1. The relatively low recognition rate for triangle gestures is caused by the longer sample videos that usually contain more than 200 frames. Rescaling them resulted in significant downsampling, and some of these downsampled data overlap with the "Z" gesture samples in the $\mathbb{R}^3$ embedding. Our classifier had comparable performance with a 5-NN classifier for all other classes.

| Gesture Type | Circle | Triangle | Wave | Z | Cross |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Recognition Rate (Our Classifier) | 93.3% | 73.3% | 93.3% | 80% | 93.3% |
| Recognition Rate (DTW 5-NN) | 93.3% | 93.3% | 93.3% | 100% | 100% |

**Table 1**: Recognition rates of SKIG gesture dataset

### 3.3. Real-time OpenCV simulation

We simulated a real-time system using OpenCV and a webcam as the image sensor. [1] Each frame was of size $480 \times 640$. In the block compression layer, we used blocks of size 20 by 20 and set $M = 200$ in the random projection layer. We specified 5 different gesture classes: "+", "O", "N", "X", and "Z", each containing 50 samples. Following the similar training procedure as performed on the SKIG dataset, we transferred each gesture sample into a point in $\mathbb{R}^3$, plotted in Figure 6.a, and trained a Gaussian maximum likelihood classifier.

To calculate the recognition rate, we performed 20 gestures per class in front of the webcam. These testing samples' PCA embedding in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is shown in Figure 6.b. We calculated the false detection rate by performing 50 unspecified gestures. Table 2 shows both the recognition rate and the false detection rate. The simulation result strongly verified our algorithm.

**Fig. 6**: (a) PCA embedding of our training samples in $\mathbb{R}^3$; (b) PCA embedding of our testing samples in $\mathbb{R}^3$

| Gesture Type | + | O | N | X | Z |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Recognition Rate | 100% | 100% | 100% | 95% | 100% |
| False Detection Rate | 4% | 0% | 4% | 2% | 0% |

**Table 2**: Real-time OpenCV simulation results

### 3.4. Hardware implementation

We implemnted the proposed algorithm in a gesture recognition system powered by solar energy, shown in Figure 7. With 400 compressed measurement, this system achieved greater than $80\%$ accuracy and consumed only $95mJ$ of energy per frame [15]. In this system, the random projection is simulated in the MCU and the classifier used DTW-based 1-NN method. In an ongoing project, we combined both compression layers into the camera front-end, and implemented motion center extraction in the mixed signal domain. Early testing results have shown the energy consumption reduced to $1.3\mu J$ per frame.



**Fig. 7**: Our light-powered smart camera system.

## 4. CONCLUSION

We proposed an energy-efficient appearance-based gesture recognition algorithm in the compressed domain. The major saving of power comes from the two layers of compression that reduce the resolution of the image sensor by a factor of more than 1000. Our proposed gesture classifier significantly reduces the number of DTW calculations and the memory requirements in the traditional DTW-based K-NN classifiers while preserving the structure of the full training dataset. Our algorithm has been verified by both simulation testing and hardware co-design.

## 5. REFERENCES

[1] Vladimir I Pavlovic, Rajeev Sharma, and Thomas S Huang, "Visual interpretation of hand gestures for human-computer interaction: A review," *Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 19, no. 7, pp. 677–695, 1997.

[2] Siddharth S Rautaray and Anupam Agrawal, "Vision based hand gesture recognition for human computer interaction: a survey," *Artificial Intelligence Review*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 1–54, 2015.

[3] Mark A Davenport, Marco F Duarte, Michael B Wakin, Jason N Laska, Dharmpal Takhar, Kevin F Kelly, and Richard Baraniuk, "The smashed filter for compressive classification and target recognition," in *Electronic Imaging 2007*. International Society for Optics and Photonics, 2007, pp. 64980H–64980H.

[4] William Mantzel, Justin Romberg, and Karim Sabra, "Compressive matched-field processing," *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, vol. 132, no. 1, pp. 90–102, 2012.

[5] Marco F Duarte, Mark A Davenport, Dharmpal Takhar, Jason N Laska, Ting Sun, Kevin E Kelly, Richard G Baraniuk, et al., "Single-pixel imaging via compressive sampling," *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 83, 2008.

[6] Gineke A ten Holt, Marcel JT Reinders, and EA Hendriks, "Multi-dimensional dynamic time warping for gesture recognition," in *Thirteenth annual conference of the Advanced School for Computing and Imaging*, 2007, vol. 300.

[7] Ahmad Akl and Shahrokh Valaee, "Accelerometer-based gesture recognition via dynamic-time warping, affinity propagation, & compressive sensing," in *2010 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing*. IEEE, 2010, pp. 2270–2273.

[8] Yu Shi and Timothy Tsui, "An fpga-based smart camera for gesture recognition in hci applications," in *Asian Conference on Computer Vision*. Springer, 2007, pp. 718–727.

[9] Chao-Tang Li and Wen-Hui Chen, "A novel fpga-based hand gesture recognition system.," *Journal of Convergence Information Technology*, vol. 7, no. 9, 2012.

[10] Richard G Baraniuk and Michael B Wakin, "Random projections of smooth manifolds," *Foundations of computational mathematics*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 51–77, 2009.

[11] Josep Maria Carmona and Joan Climent, "A performance evaluation of hmm and dtw for gesture recognition," in *Iberoamerican Congress on Pattern Recognition*. Springer, 2012, pp. 236–243.

[12] Meinard Müller, "Dynamic time warping," *Information retrieval for music and motion*, pp. 69–84, 2007.

[13] François Petitjean, Alain Ketterlin, and Pierre Gançarski, "A global averaging method for dynamic time warping, with applications to clustering," *Pattern Recognition*, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 678–693, 2011.

[14] Li Liu and Ling Shao, "Learning discriminative representations from rgb-d video data.," in *IJCAI*, 2013, vol. 1, p. 3.

[15] Anvesha Anvesha, Shaojie Xu, Ningyuan Cao, Justin Romberg, and Arijit Raychowdhury, "A light-powered, always-on, smart camera with compressed domain gesture detection," in *Proceedings of the 2016 International Symposium on Low Power Electronics and Design*. ACM, 2016, pp. 118–123.