# Block Iterative Reweighted Algorithms for Super-Resolution of Spectrally Sparse Signals

Myung Cho, Kumar Vijay Mishra, Jian-Feng Cai, and Weiyu Xu

Abstract—We propose novel algorithms that enhance the performance of recovering unknown continuous-valued frequencies from undersampled signals. Our iterative reweighted frequency recovery algorithms employ the support knowledge gained from earlier steps of our algorithms as block prior information to enhance frequency recovery. Our methods improve the performance of the atomic norm minimization which is a useful heuristic in recovering continuous-valued frequency contents. Numerical results demonstrate that our block iterative reweighted methods provide both better recovery performance and faster speed than other known methods.

*Index Terms*—Atomic norm, block prior, compressed sensing, iterative reweighted, sparse signal.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

**C** OMPRESSED sensing promises to perform signal recovery using a smaller number of samples than required by the Nyquist-Shannon sampling theorem. Since compressed sensing reduces the sampling rate in recovering sparse signals, it has made great impacts in various signal processing areas [1].

Compressed sensing has also found application to the problem of *line spectral estimation*, which aims to estimate spectral information from few observations. Early-stage compressed sensing frameworks for spectral estimation [2], [3] assumed that the frequencies of spectrally sparse signals were located on discretized grid points in the frequency domain. However, in practice, frequencies can take values in a continuous domain, giving rise to the so-called *basis mismatch* problem [3] when the discretization of the frequency domain is not fine enough.

The breakthrough theory of *super-resolution* [4] proposed by Candès and Fernandez-Granda states that sparse continuousvalued frequencies can be exactly recovered through total variation minimization using a set of *n* uniformly spaced time samples, provided the minimum separation between any two fre-

Manuscript received July 30, 2015; revised September 09, 2015; accepted September 11, 2015. Date of publication September 15, 2015; date of current version September 23, 2015. The work of J.-F. Cai was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant DMS-1418737. The work of W. Xu was supported in part by the Iowa Energy Center and by the Simons Foundation. The associate editor coordinating the review of this manuscript and approving it for publication was Prof. Deanna Needell.

M. Cho, K. V. Mishra, and W. Xu are with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242 USA (e-mail: myung-cho@uiowa.edu; kumarvijay-mishra@uiowa.edu; weiyu-xu@uiowa.edu).

J.-F. Cai is with the Department of Mathematics, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong SAR, China (e-mail: jfcai@ust.hk).

Color versions of one or more of the figures in this paper are available online at http://ieeexplore.ieee.org.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/LSP.2015.2478854

quencies is 4/n. In order to recover continuous-valued frequencies from few *randomly* chosen nonuniformly-spaced time samples, Tang *et al.* proposed off-the-grid compressed sensing that employs atomic norm minimization for frequency recovery [5]. Later, it was shown that the  $\ell_1$  minimization over the fine discrete dictionary provides an approximate solution to the atomic norm minimization [6].

In this letter, we are interested in recovering spectrally sparse signals with as few random time samples as possible. It is then natural to ask whether there are efficient frequency recovery algorithms that can further improve the performance or relax the frequency separation conditions. We propose new iterative algorithms to enhance the performance of recovering continuous-valued frequency. In our iterative algorithms, we estimate the frequency support information from previous iterations, and use the support information as *block prior* [7] for reweighted atomic norm minimization in later iterations.

Iterative reweighted methods have been used to improve sparse recovery performance in compressed sensing [8]–[13]. However, the sparse signal recovery is considered over a finite discrete dictionary in [8]–[12]. Besides [13], only our work considers recovering continuous-valued frequencies by directly reweighting in the continuous dictionary through a semi-definite program (SDP). Our work differs from [13] in that we provide different reweighting schemes that lead to improved signal recovery performance. In [13], the authors set the reweighting weight w(f) for a frequency  $f \in [0, 1]$  according to correlations between frequency atoms (see e.g. Theorem 3 of [13]). In contrast, our method allows w(f) to take more general forms through the dual program of weighted atomic minimization under general weights [14], thereby lending more flexibility to incorporating external prior information and prior information passed on from earlier algorithm iterations. Numerical experiments show that our iterative algorithms improve both the recovery performance and the execution time, compared with [5] and [13].

# II. BACKGROUND ON STANDARD AND WEIGHTED ATOMIC NORM MINIMIZATION ALGORITHMS

In this letter, we denote the set of complex numbers, real numbers, positive integers and natural numbers including 0 as  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}^+$ , and  $\mathbb{N}$  respectively. We reserve calligraphic uppercase letters for index sets. When we use an index set  $\mathcal{K}$  as the subscript of a vector x or a matrix F, i.e.,  $x_{\mathcal{K}}$  or  $F_{\mathcal{K}}$ , it represents the part of the vector x over index set  $\mathcal{K}$  or the columns of the matrix F over index set  $\mathcal{K}$  respectively.

Let  $x^*$  be a spectrally sparse signal expressed as a sum of k complex exponentials as follows:

$$x_{l}^{\star} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} c_{j}^{\star} e^{i2\pi f_{j}^{\star} l} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} |c_{j}^{\star}| a(f_{j}^{\star}, \phi_{j}^{\star})_{l}, l \in \mathcal{N}, \quad (\text{II.1})$$

1070-9908 © 2015 IEEE. Personal use is permitted, but republication/redistribution requires IEEE permission.

See http://www.ieee.org/publications\_standards/publications/rights/index.html for more information.

where  $f_j^* \in [0, 1]$  represents a frequency,  $c_j^* = |c_j^*|e^{i\phi_j^*}$  is its coefficient, and  $\phi_j^* \in [0, 2\pi]$  is its phase,  $\mathcal{N} = \{l : 0 \le l \le n-1, l \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is the set of time indices. Here,  $a(f_j^*, \phi_j^*) \in \mathbb{C}^{|\mathcal{N}|}$  is a *frequency-atom*, with the *l*-th element given by  $a(f_j^*, \phi_j^*)_l = e^{i(2\pi f_j^* l + \phi_j^*)}$ . In particular, when phase is 0, we denote the frequency-atom simply as  $a(f_j)$ . We assume that the signal in (II.1) is observed over the time index set  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}, |\mathcal{M}| = m \le n$ , where *m* observations are chosen randomly. Our goal is to recover all the frequencies with the smallest possible number of observations. Estimating frequencies is not trivial because they are in continuous domain, and their phases and magnitudes are also unknown.

The atomic norm of a signal x and its dual norm [5, Eq. (II.7)] are defined respectively as follows:

$$|x||_{\mathcal{A}} = \inf\left\{\sum_{j} |c_j| : x = \sum_{j} c_j a(f_j)\right\},\tag{II.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |q||_{\mathcal{A}}^{\star} &= \sup_{\substack{\|x\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq 1\\ g \in [0,2\pi],\\ f \in [0,1]}} \langle q, e^{i\phi} a(f,0) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \sup_{\substack{f \in [0,1]\\ f \in [0,1]}} |\langle q, a(f) \rangle|, \end{aligned}$$
(II.3)

where  $\langle q, x \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$  represents the real part of the inner product  $x^H q$ . Here, the superscript H is used for the conjugate transpose. In [5], the authors proposed the following atomic norm minimization to recover a spectrally sparse signal  $x^*$  using randomly chosen time samples  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ :

minimize 
$$||x||_{\mathcal{A}}$$
 subject to  $x_j = x_j^{\star}, j \in \mathcal{M}$ . (II.4)

The dual problem of (II.4) is

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{q}{\operatorname{maximize}} & \langle q_{\mathcal{M}}, x_{\mathcal{M}}^{\star} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \\ \text{subject to} & q_{\mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{M}} = 0, \|q\|_{\mathcal{A}}^{\star} \leq 1. \end{array}$$
(II.5)

The constraint  $||q||_{\mathcal{A}}^* \leq 1$  in (II.5) can be changed to  $\sup_{f \in [0,1]} |\langle q, a(f) \rangle| \leq 1$  using (II.3). We label  $\langle q, a(f) \rangle$  as the *dual polynomial* Q(f). Since the Slater condition is satisfied in (II.4), there is no duality gap between (II.4) and (II.5) [15]. Moreover, the estimated spectral content comprises the frequencies at which the absolute value of the dual polynomial, which is derived from q (obtained as a solution of (II.5)), attains the maximum modulus of unity. We refer the reader to [5] for details. The off-the-grid compressed sensing approach in [5] demonstrated that with randomly chosen observation data, one can correctly obtain frequency information by solving the atomic norm minimization. However, the atomic norm minimization between frequencies for successful recovery.

In [7], we considered frequency recovery with external prior information, and showed that if the frequencies are known to lie in frequency subbands, we can obtain better recovery performance by using frequency block prior information. The SDP formulation adopted inside each iteration of our new algorithms follows that detailed in [7]. We summarize that SDP formulation in the following paragraph.

Suppose the frequency f of the signal x lies within the frequency block  $\mathcal{B} \subset [0, 1]$ . Then, given this block prior informa-

tion  $\mathcal{B}$ , the atomic norm with block priors and its dual are stated respectively as follows [7, Eq. (II.9)]:

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{AB}} = \inf\left\{\sum_{j} |c_{j}| : x = \sum_{j} c_{j}a(f_{j}), f_{j} \in \mathcal{B}\right\},$$
(II.6)
(II.7)

$$|q||_{\mathcal{AB}}^{\star} = \sup_{\|x\|_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}} \le 1} \langle q, x \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{B}} |\langle q, a(f) \rangle|.$$
(II.7)

We formulate the atomic norm minimization with block priors [7, Eq. (III.1)] as

 $\min_{x} \|x\|_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}} \quad \text{subject to } x_j = x_j^{\star}, j \in \mathcal{M}.$  (II.8)

The dual problem of (II.8) is

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{q}{\operatorname{maximize}} & \langle q_{\mathcal{M}}, x_{\mathcal{M}} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \\ \text{subject to} & q_{\mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{M}} = 0, \|q\|_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}}^{\star} \leq 1. \end{array}$$
(II.9)

Here,  $\mathcal{B}$  is a union of disjoint frequency blocks within which all the true frequencies are located, i.e.,  $f_j^* \in \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}$  $= \bigcup_{k=1}^r [f_{L_k}, f_{H_k}]$ , where r is the number of disjoint block blocks,  $f_{L_k}$  and  $f_{H_k}$  are the lowest and highest frequencies of the k-th frequency block. Using the properties of positive trigonometric polynomials [16], [17] and (II.7), this dual problem can be formulated as an SDP [7, Eq. (III.16)]:

$$\begin{array}{l} \underset{i}{\operatorname{maximize}}{\operatorname{maximize}} & \langle q_{\mathcal{M}}, x_{\mathcal{M}} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \\ \underset{i}{\operatorname{subject to}} & q_{\mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{M}} = 0, \\ \delta_{k_{i}} = \mathcal{L}_{k_{i}}, f_{L_{i}}, f_{H_{i}}(\boldsymbol{G_{a_{i}}}, \boldsymbol{G_{b_{i}}}), \overset{k_{i}=0,\ldots,(n-1),}{i=1,\ldots,r}, \\ & \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{G_{a_{i}}} & q \\ q^{H} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0, i = 1, \ldots, r, \\ (\text{II.10}) \\ \text{where } \delta_{i} = -1 \text{ if } k_{i} = 0 \text{ and } \delta_{i} = -0 \text{ otherwise} \end{array}$$

where  $\delta_{k_i} = 1$  if  $k_i = 0$ , and  $\delta_{k_i} = 0$  otherwise,  $G_{a_i} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  and  $G_{b_i} \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-1) \times (n-1)}$  are Gram matrices. The trace parameterization term  $\mathcal{L}_{k,f_L,f_H}(G_a, G_b)$  for the frequency block  $[f_L, f_H] \subset [0,1]$  is set to  $\operatorname{tr}[\Theta_k G_a] + \operatorname{tr}[(d_1 \Theta_{k-1} + d_0 \Theta_k + d_1^H \Theta_{k+1}) \cdot G_b]$ , where  $\Theta_k$  is the Toeplitz matrix that has ones on the k-th diagonal and zeros elsewhere,  $d_0 = -\frac{\alpha\beta+1}{2}$ ,  $d_1 = \frac{1-\alpha\beta}{4} + i\frac{\alpha+\beta}{4}$ , where  $\alpha = \tan(2\pi f_L/2)$ , and  $\beta = \tan(2\pi f_H/2)$ . This SDP approach [7] was expanded for more general cases in [14].

In practice, one may not always have direct access to prior information. This leads to the question if we can improve the frequency recovery performance without any external prior information. We describe new algorithms to address this issue in the following section.

## III. BLOCK ITERATIVE (RE)WEIGHTED ATOMIC NORM MINIMIZATION ALGORITHMS

We propose three iterative algorithms to enhance frequency recovery performance in the absence of external prior information. In our algorithms, we use estimated frequency support information from previous iterations as block prior for subsequent iterations.

### A. Block iterative weighted Atomic Norm Minimization

We first introduce a conceptual algorithm named Block iterative weighted Atomic Norm Minimization (BANM). BANM solves SDP of (II.10) repeatedly, using block priors obtained from the previous iteration. In each iteration, BANM estimates the frequency locations, and then, around the estimated fre-

This paper previously published in IEEE Signal Processing Letters

quencies, BANM forms blocks which very likely contain the true frequencies. With the block priors so obtained, BANM enhances frequency recovery via solving (II.10) in the next iteration, using the new block information.

BANM initially sets frequency block  $\mathcal{B} = [0, 1]$ , and then solves (II.10). Suppose the solution of (II.10) gives r estimated frequencies  $f_i^{(t)}$ , i = 1, ..., r, where the superscript (t) is used to represent the iteration number. BANM chooses the l frequencies  $f_{i_1}, f_{i_2}, ..., f_{i_l}$  with the largest coefficients in amplitude among them, where l is a certain integer number. BANM then forms a union frequency block  $\mathcal{B}$  with l frequency subbands around the estimated frequencies  $f_{i_j}^{(t)}$ , j = 1, ..., l, as

$$\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{l} [f_{i_j}^{(t)} - \tau, f_{i_j}^{(t)} + \tau], \qquad \text{(III.1)}$$

for some small real number  $\tau > 0, \tau \in [0, 1]$  that determines the size of the subband. BANM uses the union frequency block  $\mathcal{B}$  as block prior and solves (II.10) again with updated parameters.

# B. Block iterative reweighted $\ell_1$ and Atomic Norm Minimization Mixture

BANM requires solving SDP in each iteration to estimate the location of frequencies, but solving SDP repeatedly causes long execution time. Thus, we propose using low-complexity algorithm to obtain prior information on frequency locations. We then use the aforementioned SDP (II.10) only in the last iteration for accurately determining the frequency locations. This concept is the key to design of our algorithm - Block iterative reweighted  $\ell_1$  and Atomic Norm Minimization Mixture (or simply, BANM-Mix) algorithm that can achieve super-resolution of frequencies with low complexity (Algorithm 1).

Algorithm 1: Block iterative reweighted  $\ell_1$  and Atomic Norm Minimization Mixture (BANM-Mix) Algorithm

Input: 
$$F \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times p}$$
,  $x_{\mathcal{M}}^{\star}$ ,  $MaxItr$ ,  $b$ ,  $\epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon_{err}$   
Output: frequency  $\hat{f}$ , coefficient  $\hat{c}$   
Initialize:  $t \leftarrow 0$ ,  $c^{(t)} \leftarrow 0$ ,  $w^{(t)} \leftarrow 1$ ,  $\mathcal{K}^{(t)} \leftarrow \{i\}_{i=1:q:p}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}$   
 $\leftarrow \{i\}_{i=1}^{p}$   
for  $t = 1$  to  $MaxItr$  do  
 $c_{\mathcal{K}^{(t)}}^{(t)} \leftarrow$  solution of (III.2),  $c_{\mathcal{P}\setminus\mathcal{K}^{(t)}}^{(t)} \leftarrow 0$   
 $\mathcal{BI}_{i} \leftarrow$  frequency block via (III.3) for  $i = 1, \dots, p$   
 $w_{i}^{(t+1)} \leftarrow$  weight via (III.4) for  $i = 1, \dots, p$   
if  $||c^{(t-1)} - c^{(t)}||_{2} < \epsilon_{err}$  then  
 $\mathcal{B} \leftarrow$  frequency block via (III.1), where  $f_{i}$  satisfying  
 $w_{i}^{(t+1)} < (\min(w^{(t+1)}) + \max(w^{(t+1)}))/2$   
 $\hat{f} \leftarrow f$  such that  $|Q(f)| = 1$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  after solving (II.10)  
 $\hat{c} \leftarrow c$  satisfying Eq. (II.1) with given  $\hat{f}$  and  $x_{\mathcal{M}}^{\star}$   
break  
end  
 $\mathcal{K}^{(t+1)} \leftarrow$  index set via (III.5)  
end

BANM-Mix first discretizes the continuous frequency domain [0,1] in uniform intervals of size  $\Delta_f$ . We denote the index set for these intervals as  $\mathcal{P} = \{i\}_{i=1}^{p}$ , where  $p = 1/\Delta_f$ . The index set corresponds to p discrete frequency grid points  $f_j = (j - 1)/p$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq p$ . We have the discrete Fourier matrix F



Fig. 1. An illustration of the adaptive gridding. The estimated frequency  $f_{2q+1}$  in the first iteration is depicted by a red pole. The index set  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  have solid and dotted grid points respectively. The block that contains the red pole in the middle is the index-wise frequency block  $\mathcal{BI}_{2q+1}$ , where b = 10.

 $\in \mathbb{C}^{n \times p}$  over p discrete frequency grid points whose element in the j-th column and l-th row is  $a(f_j)_l = e^{i(2\pi f_j l)}$ .

Then, BANM-Mix iteratively solves reweighted  $\ell_1$  minimization over this discretized frequency dictionary to efficiently estimate frequency locations. Different from iterative reweighted  $\ell_1$  minimization algorithms designed for incoherent discrete dictionaries [8]–[12], our iterative reweighted  $\ell_1$  minimization algorithm employs novel *adaptive gridding* and *block reweighting* strategies to extract frequency support information from our highly correlated discretized dictionary.

BANM-Mix initializes coefficients  $c_i^{(0)} = 0$ , weights  $w_i^{(0)} = 1$  for i = 1, ..., p, and an index set  $\mathcal{K}^{(0)} = \{j : j = ql + 1, l = 0, 1, ..., (p-1)/q, q \in \mathbb{Z}^+\} \triangleq \{j\}_{j=1:q:p} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ . Let  $W^{(t)} = diag(w_{\mathcal{K}^{(t)}}^{(t)})$  be a diagonal matrix with weights  $w_{\mathcal{K}^{(t)}}^{(t)}$ ,  $F_{\mathcal{K}^{(t)}} \in \mathbb{C}^{|\mathcal{M}| \times |\mathcal{K}^{(t)}|}$  be the partial discrete Fourier matrix.

In the *t*-th iteration, BANM-Mix solves the following weighted  $\ell_1$  minimization problem over the index set  $\mathcal{K}^{(t)}$ , rather than the larger index set  $\mathcal{P}$ :

minimize 
$$||W^{(t)}z||_1$$
 subject to  $x_l^{\star} = (F_{\mathcal{K}^{(t)}}z)_l, l \in \mathcal{M}$ .

We then define a vector  $c^{(t)}$  having  $c_{\mathcal{K}^{(t)}}^{(t)} = z$  and  $c_{\mathcal{P}\setminus\mathcal{K}^{(t)}}^{(t)} = 0$ . BANM-Mix then calculates the weight  $w_i^{(t+1)}$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, p$ . We define the index-wise frequency block  $\mathcal{BI}_i$  as

$$\mathcal{BI}_i = \{j : i - b/2 \le j \le i + b/2, j \in \mathcal{P}\},$$
(III.3)

for some positive integer b, which determines the block width. BANM-Mix computes the weight  $w_i^{(t+1)}$  by considering the frequency coefficients around  $f_i$  in the discretized domain as

$$w_i^{(t+1)} = \frac{1}{\sum_{j \in \mathcal{BI}_i} |c_j^{(t)}| + \epsilon}, i = 1, \dots, p$$
 (III.4)

where  $\epsilon$  is a small positive constant to prevent  $w_i^{(t+1)}$  from going to infinity. We refer to our procedure in (III.4) as *block reweighting*. Since the discretized dictionary under consideration has highly correlated columns, block reweighting can accurately reflect the likelihood of a true frequency existing around  $f_i$ . Our numerical experiments showed that earlier reweighting strategies [8]–[11], which update  $w_i \leftarrow \frac{1}{|c_i|+\epsilon}$ , could not correctly reflect the likelihood of a true frequency being at index *i* and resulted in worse frequency recovery performance. This is because the solution to (III.2) will disperse the amplitude of a true frequency into the neighboring indices in highly correlated dictionary columns.

After updating  $w_i^{(t+1)}$  for i = 1, ..., p, BANM-Mix updates the index set  $\mathcal{K}^{(t+1)}$  through adaptive gridding. In adaptive gridding, BANM-Mix first finds indices  $i, 1 \leq i \leq p$ , with  $w_i^{(t+1)} < (\min(w^{(t+1)}) + \max(w^{(t+1)}))^2$ , where  $\min(w^{(t+1)})$  and  $\max(w^{(t+1)})$  are the minimum and maximum values of the elements of  $w^{(t+1)}$  respectively. We define  $(\min(w^{(t+1)}) + \max(w^{(t+1)}))^2$  as  $w^{(t+1)}_{\text{mid}}$ . Then BANM-Mix updates  $\mathcal{K}^{(t+1)}$  as

$$\mathcal{K}^{(t+1)} = \mathcal{K}^{(t)} \bigcup \left( \bigcup_{\{i: w_i^{(t+1)} \le w_{\text{mid}}^{(t+1)}, i \in \mathcal{P}\}} \mathcal{BI}_i \right). \quad \text{(III.5)}$$

Namely, if  $w_i^{(t+1)} < w_{\text{mid}}^{(t+1)}$ ,  $\mathcal{K}^{(t+1)}$  will include finer grid points (with separation  $\Delta_f$ ) around frequency (i-1)/p. Recall that, at the beginning,  $\mathcal{K}^{(0)}$  has only grid points with separation  $q\Delta_f$ . The reason is that when  $w_i^{(t+1)}$  is small, very likely a true frequency exists around frequency (i-1)/p. By applying finer gridding around frequency (i-1)/p, one can estimate the frequency location more accurately in the next iteration. We call this method of applying different resolutions in the discretized dictionary as adaptive gridding (see Fig. 1).

The algorithm continues solving (III.2) in each iteration until either a specified maximum number of iterations (MaxItr) is exhausted or the solution of (III.2) converges i.e.,  $||c^{(t-1)}|$  $c^{(t)} \parallel_2 \leq \epsilon_{err}$ , for some error tolerance  $\epsilon_{err} > 0$ . BANM-Mix then chooses the block prior set  $\mathcal{B}$  by a union of the frequency blocks around frequency  $f_i^{(t)}$  satisfying  $w_i^{(t+1)} < w_{\text{mid}}^{(t+1)}$ . With this frequency block information, we use SDP (II.10) to superresolve frequencies in the last iteration.

#### C. Block iterative reweighted $\ell_1$ Minimization

The complexity of BANM-Mix can still be high since we have to solve an SDP in the last iteration. To further reduce its complexity, we propose the Block iterative reweighted  $\ell_1$  Minimization (BL1M) algorithm which is the same as BANM-Mix except that BL1M does not solve SDP in the last iteration. Instead, BL1M uses postprocessing to estimate the final frequencies from the results of iterative reweighted  $\ell_1$ minimizations. In the last iteration, BL1M finds the frequency blocks  $\mathcal{BI}_i$  that satisfy  $w_i^{(t+1)} < w_{\text{mid}}^{(t+1)}$ . If two frequency blocks  $\mathcal{BI}_i$  overlap, BL1M merges them into one. BL1M assumes that one frequency block contains only one true frequency. Suppose that one frequency block (after possible merging) has r grid frequencies  $f_1, \ldots, f_r$  whose corresponding coefficients are  $c_1, \ldots, c_r$ . Then BL1M estimates the frequency  $\hat{f}$  in that block as  $\hat{f} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^r f_i \times |c_i|}{\sum_{i=1}^r |c_i|}$ .

#### **IV. NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS**

We compare our algorithms with the standard Atomic Norm Minimization (ANM) [5], and the Reweighted Atomic norm Minimization (RAM) [13]. We use CVX [18] to solve convex programs.<sup>1</sup> In all experiments, the phases and frequencies are sampled uniformly at random in  $[0, 2\pi)$  and [0,1] respectively.<sup>2</sup>

We evaluate the recovery performance for the signal dimension n = 64, number of observation m is varied from 8 to 25, block width b = 20,  $\epsilon = 2^8$ , and  $\epsilon_{err} = 0.5 \times 10^{-4}$ . The maximum number of iterations (MaxItr) is set to 20 for both BANM-Mix and RAM.<sup>3</sup> Fig. 2 and 3 show the probability of



Fig. 2. The probability P of successful frequency recovery (n = 64).



Fig. 3. The probability P of frequency recovery for (n, k) = (64, 8).



Fig. 4. The execution time as a function of signal dimension n.

successful recovery of the entire spectral content over 50 trials for each parameter setup. We consider a recovery successful if  $||f^{\star} - \hat{f}||_2 \le 10^{-3}$ . Fig. 3 clearly shows that our algorithm outperforms both ANM and RAM for n = 64 and k = 8.

We assess the computational complexity of algorithms in terms of the average execution time for signal recovery from 10 trials. Here, we present results when n is from 120 to 470,  $m = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, q = 2^4, p = 2^{14}, b = 20, \epsilon = 2^8, \epsilon_{err} = 0.5 \times 10^{-4}.$ Fig. 4 shows that the speed of BL1M is faster than that of ANM and RAM. This is because the latter is based on an SDP while the former uses only  $\ell_1$  minimization.

#### V. CONCLUSION

The BANM-Mix and BL1M show better recovery than other known iterative methods [5], [13]. In particular, BL1M has shorter execution times than these other methods. Our simulations empirically exhibit convergence of our iterative procedures. It would be interesting to perform more comprehensive theoretical analysis of convergence in the future.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank Yuejie Chi of Ohio State University and Zai Yang of Nanyang Technological University for helpful discussions.

2322

This paper previously published in IEEE Signal Processing Letters

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>We conducted our numerical experiments on HP Z220 CMT with Intel Core i7-3770 dual core CPU@3.4 GHz clock speed and 16 GB DDR3 RAM, using Matlab (R2013b) on Windows 7 OS.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The amplitudes  $|c_j|, j = 1, ..., k$ , are drawn randomly from the distribution  $\sqrt{0.5 + \chi_1^2}$  where  $\chi_1^2$  represents the chi-squared distribution with 1 degree of freedom

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>A MaxItr value of 20 was sufficient to guarantee an empirical convergence of our iterative procedures in most of our experiments.

#### REFERENCES

- Y. C. Eldar and G. Kutyniok, *Compressed Sensing: Theory and Appli*cations. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge Univ. Press, 2012.
- [2] M. F. Duarte and R. G. Baraniuk, "Spectral compressive sensing," *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 111–129, 2013.
- [3] Y. Chi, L. L. Scharf, A. Pezeshki, and A. R. Calderbank, "Sensitivity to basis mismatch in compressed sensing," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 59, no. 5, pp. 2182–2195, 2011.
- [4] E. J. Candès and C. Fernandez-Granda, "Towards a mathematical theory of super-resolution," *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, vol. 67, no. 6, pp. 906–956, 2014.
- [5] G. Tang, B. N. Bhaskar, P. Shah, and B. Recht, "Compressed sensing off the grid," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 59, no. 11, pp. 7465–7490, 2013.
- [6] G. Tang, B. N. Bhaskar, and B. Recht, "Sparse recovery over continuous dictionaries: Just discretize," in *Proc. Asilomar Conf. Signals, Systems, and Computers*, 2013, pp. 1043–1047.
- [7] K. V. Mishra, M. Cho, A. Kruger, and W. Xu, "Super-resolution line spectrum estimation with block priors," in *Proc. Asilomar Conf. Sig*nals, Systems, and Computers, 2014, pp. 1211–1215.
- [8] R. Chartrand and W. Yin, "Iteratively reweighted algorithms for compressive sensing," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, 2008, pp. 3869–3872.

- [9] E. J. Candès, M. B. Wakin, and S. P. Boyd, "Enhancing sparsity by reweighted l<sub>1</sub> minimization," *J. Fourier Anal. Applicat.*, vol. 14, no. 5–6, pp. 877–905, 2008.
- [10] D. Wipf and S. Nagarajan, "Iterative reweighted l<sub>1</sub> and l<sub>2</sub> methods for finding sparse solutions," *IEEE J. Sel. Topics Signal Process.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 317–329, 2010.
- [11] D. Needell, "Noisy signal recovery via iterative reweighted 11-minimization," in *Proc. Asilomar Conf. Signals, Systems, and Computers*, 2009, pp. 113–117.
- [12] J. Fang, D. Huiping, J. Li, H. Li, and R. S. Blumn, "Super-resolution compressed sensing: A generalized iterative reweighted ℓ<sub>2</sub> approach," arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.2477, 2014.
- [13] Z. Yang and L. Xie, "Enhancing sparsity and resolution via reweighted atomic norm minimization," arXiv preprint arXiv:1408.5750, 2014.
- [14] K. V. Mishra, M. Cho, A. Kruger, and W. Xu, "Spectral super-resolution with prior knowledge," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 63, no. 20, pp. 5342–5357, 2015.
- [15] S. P. Boyd and L. Vandenberghe, *Convex Optimization*. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge Univ. Press, 2004.
- [16] L. Fejér, "Über trigonometriche polynome," J. Reine und Angewandte Math., vol. 146, pp. 53–82, 1915, in German.
- [17] B. Dumitrescu, Positive Trigonometric Polynomials and Signal Processing Applications. Berlin, Germany: Springer, 2007.
- [18] M. Grant and S. Boyd, "CVX: Matlab software for disciplined convex programming, version 2.0 beta," [Online]. Available: http://cvxr.com/cvx Sep. 2012