TOWARDS A UNIVERSAL REPRESENTATION FOR AUDIO INFORMATION RETRIEVAL AND ANALYSIS

Bjørn Sand Jensen, Rasmus Troelsgaard, Jan Larsen, and Lars Kai Hansen

DTU Compute Technical University of Denmark Asmussens Allé B305, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark {bjje,rast,janla,lkai}@dtu.dk

ABSTRACT

A fundamental and general representation of audio and music which integrates multi-modal data sources is important for both application and basic research purposes. In this paper we address this challenge by proposing a multi-modal version of the Latent Dirichlet Allocation model which provides a joint latent representation. We evaluate this representation on the Million Song Dataset by integrating three fundamentally different modalities, namely tags, lyrics, and audio features. We show how the resulting representation is aligned with common 'cognitive' variables such as tags, and provide some evidence for the common assumption that genres form an acceptable categorization when evaluating latent representations of music. We furthermore quantify the model by its predictive performance in terms of genre and style, providing benchmark results for the Million Song Dataset.

Index Terms— Audio representation, multi-modal LDA, Million Song Dataset, genre classification.

1. INTRODUCTION

Music representation and information retrieval are issues of great theoretical and practical importance. The theoretical interest relates in part to the close interplay between audio, human cognition and sociality, leading to heterogenous and highly multi-modal representations in music. The practical importance, on the other hand, is evident as current music business models suffer from the lack of efficient and user friendly navigation tools. We are interested in representations that directly support interactivity, thus representations based on latent variables that are well-aligned with cognitively (semantic) relevant variables [1]. User generated tags can be seen as such 'cognitive variables' since they represent decisions that express reflections on music content and context. Clearly, such tags are often extremely heterogenous, highdimensional, and idiosyncratic as they may relate to any aspect of music use and understanding.

Moving towards broadly applicable and cognitively relevant representations of music data is clearly contingent on the ability to handle multi-modality. This is reflected in current music information research that use a large variety of representations and models, ranging from support vector machine (SVM) genre classifiers [2]; custom latent variable models models for tagging [3]; similarity based methods for recommendation based on Gaussian Mixture models [4]; and latent variable models for hybrid recommendation [5]. A significant step in the direction of flexible multi-modal representations was taken in the work of Law et al. [6] based on the probabilistic framework of Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA) topic modeling. Their topic model representation of tags allows capturing rich cognitive semantics as users are able to tag freely without being constrained by a fixed vocabulary. However, with a strong focus on automatic tagging Law et al. refrained from developing a universal representation - symmetric with respect to all modalities. A more symmetric representation is pursued in recent work by Weston et al. [7]; however, without a formal statistical framework it offers less flexibility, e.g., in relation to handling missing features or modalities. This is often a challenge encountered in real world music applications.

In this work we pursue a multi-modal view towards a unifying representation, focusing on latent representations informed symmetrically by all modalities based on a multimodal version of the Latent Dirichlet Allocation model. In order to quantify the approach, we evaluate the model and representation in a large-scale setting using the million song dataset (MSD) [8], and consider a number of models trained on combinations of the three basic modalities: user tags (topdown view), lyrics (meta-data view) and content based audio features (bottom-up view). First, we show that the latent representation obtained by considering the audio and lyrics modalities is well aligned—in an unsupervised manner - with 'cognitive' variables by analyzing the mutual information

This work was supported in part by the Danish Council for Strategic Research of the Danish Agency for Science Technology and Innovation under the CoSound project, case number 11-115328. Bob L. Sturm, Aalborg Uninversity Copenhagen is acknowledged for suggestion of relevant references in music interpretation.

between the user generated tags and the representation itself. Secondly, with knowledge obtained in the first step, we evaluate auxiliary predictive tasks to demonstrate the predictive alignment of the latent representation with well-known human categories and metadata information. In particular we consider genre and styles provided by [9], none of which is used to learn the latent semantics themselves. This leads to benchmark results on the MSD and provides insight into the nature of generative genre and style classifiers.

Our work is related to a rich body of studies in music modeling, and multi-modal integration. In terms of non-probabilistic approaches this includes the already mentioned work of Weston *et al.* [7]. McFee *et al.* [10] showed how hypergraphs (see also [11]) can be used to combine multiple modalities with the possibilities to learn the importance of each modality for a particular task. Recently McVicar *et al.* [12] applied multi-way CCA to analyze emotional aspects of music based on the MSD.

In the topic modelling domain, Arenas-García *et al.* [13] proposed multi-modal PLSA as a way to integrate multiple descriptors of similarity such as genre and low-level audio features. Yoshii *et al.* [5, 14] suggested a similar approach for hybrid music recommendation integrating subject taste and timbre features. In [15], standard LDA was applied with audio words for the task of obtaining low-dimensional features (topic distributions) applied in a discriminative SVM classifier. For the particular task of genre classification *et al.* [16] applied the pLSA model as a generative genre classifier. Our work is a generalization and extension of these previous ideas and contributions based on the multi-modal LDA, multiple audio features, audio words and a generative classification view.

2. DATA & REPRESENTATION

The recently published million song dataset (MSD) [8] has highlighted some of the challenges in modern music information retrieval; and made it possible to evaluate top-down and bottom-up integration of data sources on a large scale. Hence, we naturally use the MSD and associated data sets to evaluate the merits of our approach. In defining the latent semantic representation, we integrate the following modalities/data sources.

The tags, or top-down features, are human annotations from last.fm often conveying information about genre and year of release. Since users have consciously annotated the music in an open vocabulary, such tags are considered an expressed view of the users cognitive representation. The metadata level, i.e., the lyrics, is of course nonexistent for for majority of certain genres, and in other cases simply missing for individual songs which is not a problem for the proposed model. The lyrics are represented in a *bag-of-words* style, i.e., no information about the order in which the terms occurs is included. The content based or bottom up features are de-



Fig. 1: Graphical model of the multi-modal LDA model

rived from the audio itself. We rely on the Echonest feature extraction¹ already available in for the MSD, namely timbre, chroma, loudness, and tempo. These are orginally derived in event related segments, but we follow previous work [17] by beat aligning all features obtaining an meaningful alignment with music related aspects.

In order to allow for practical and efficient indexing and representation, we abandon the classic representation of using for example a Gaussian mixture model for representing each song in its respective feature space. Instead we turn to the so-called *audio word* approach (see e.g. [18, 19, 3, 17]) where each song is represented by a vector of counts of (finite) number of audio words (feature vector). We obtain these *audio words* by running a randomly initiated K-means algorithm on a 5% random subset of the MSD for timbre, chroma, loudness and tempo with 1024, 1024, 32, and 32 clusters, respectively. All beat segments in a all songs are then quantized into these audio words and the resulting counts, representing the four different audio features, are concatenated to yield the audio modality.

3. MULTI-MODAL MODEL

In order to model the heterogeneous modalities outline above, we turn to the framework of topic modeling. We propose to use a multi-modal modification of the standard LDA to represent the latent representation in a symmetric way relevant to many music applications. The multi-modal LDA, mmLDA, [20] is a straight forward extension of standard LDA topic model [21], as shown in Fig. 1. The model and notation is easily understood by the way it generates a new song by the different modalities, thus the following generative process defines the model:

- For each topic z ∈ [1; T] in each modality m ∈ [1; M] Draw φ_z^(m) ~ Dirichlet(β^(m)). This is the parameters of the zth topic's distribution over vocabulary [1; V^(m)] of modality m.
- For each song $s \in [1; S]$
 - Draw θ_s ~ Dirichlet(α). This is the parameters of the sth song's distribution over topics [1; T].
 - For each modality $m \in [1; M]$
 - * For each word $w \in [1; N_{sm}]$
 - Draw a specific topic $z^{(m)} \sim Categorical(oldsymbol{ heta}_s)$
 - · Draw a word $w^{(m)} \sim Categorical(\phi_{z^{(m)}}^{(m)})$

¹http://the.echonest.com



Fig. 2: Normalized average mutual information (avgNMI) between the latent representation defined by audio and lyrics for T = 128 topics and the 200 top-ranked tags. avgNMI is computed on the test set in each fold. The popularity of each tag is indicated in parenthesis.

A main characteristic of mmLDA is the common topic proportions for all M modalities in each song, s, and separate word-topic distributions $p(w^{(m)}|z)$ for each modality, where z denotes a particular topic. Thus, each modality has its own definition of what a topic is in terms of its own vocabulary.

Model inference is performed using a collapsed Gibbs sampler [22] similar to the standard LDA. The Gibbs sampler is run for a limited number of complete sweeps through the training songs, and the model state with the highest model evidence within the last 50 iterations is regarded as the MAP estimate. From this MAP sample, point estimates of the topicsong distribution, $\hat{p}(z|s)$, and the modality, m, specific wordtopic distribution, $\hat{p}(w^{(m)}|z)$, can be computed based on the expectations of the corresponding Dirichlet distributions.

Evaluation of model performance on a unknown test song, s^* , is performed using the procedure of fold-in [23, 24] by computing the point estimate of the topic distribution, $\hat{p}(z|s^*)$ for the new song, by keeping the all the word-topic counts fixed during a number of new Gibbs sweeps. Testing on a modality, not included in the training phase, requires a point estimate of the word-topic distribution, $p(w^{(m^*)}|z)$, of the held out modality, m^* , of the training data. This is obtained by fixing the song-topic counts while updating the word-topic counts for that specific modality. This is similar to the fold-in procedure used for test songs.

4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS & DISCUSSION

4.1. Alignment

The first aim is to evaluate the latent representation's alignment with a human 'cognitive' variable, which we previously argued could be the open vocabulary tags. We do this by including only the lower level modalities of audio and lyrics



Fig. 3: Classification accuracy for $T \in \{32, 128, 512\}$. Dark blue: Combined model; Light Blue: Tags; Green: Lyrics; Orange: Audio; Red: Audio+Lyrics.

when estimating the model. Then the normalized mutual information between a single tag and the latent representations, i.e., the topics, is calculated for all the tags.

Thus for a single tag, $w_i^{(tag)}$ we can compute the mutual information between the tag and the topic distribution for a specific song, s as:

$$\operatorname{MI}\left(w_{i}^{(tag)}, z|s\right) = (1)$$
$$\operatorname{KL}\left(\hat{p}\left(w_{i}^{(tag)}, z|s\right) ||\hat{p}\left(w_{i}^{(tag)}|s\right)\hat{p}\left(z|s\right)\right),$$

where $KL(\cdot)$ denotes the Kullback-Leibler divergence. We normalize the MI to be in [0; 1], i.e,

NMI
$$\left(w_i^{(tag)}, z|s\right) = 2 \frac{\text{MI}\left(w_i^{(tag)}, z|s\right)}{H\left(w_i^{(tag)}|s\right) + H\left(z|s\right)}$$

where $H(\cdot)$ denotes the entropy. Finally, we compute the average over all songs to arrive at the final measure of alignment for a specific tag, given by $\operatorname{avgNMI}(w_i^{(tag)}) = \frac{1}{S} \sum_s \operatorname{NMI}(w_i^{(tag)}, z|s)$.

Fig. 2 shows a sorted list of tags, where tags with high alignment with the latent representation have higher average NMI (avgNMI). It is notable that the combination of the audio and lyrics modality, in defining the latent representation, seems to align well with genre-like and style-like tags. On the contrary, emotional and period tags are relatively less aligned with the representation. Also note that the alignment is not simply a matter of the tag being the most popular as can be seen from Fig. 2. Less popular tags are ranked higher by avgNMI than very popular tags, suggesting that some are more specialized in terms of the latent representation than others.

The result gives merit to the idea of using genre and styles as proxy for evaluating latent representation in comparison with other open vocabulary tags, since we - from lower level features, such as audio features and lyrics - can find latent representations which align well with high-level, 'cognitive' aspects in an unsupervised way. This is in line with many studies in music informatics on western music (see e.g. [25, 26, 27]) which indicate coherence between genre and tag categories and cognitive understanding of music structure. In



Fig. 5: Confusion matrices for genre and 128 topics. The color level indicates the classification accuracy.

summary, the ranking of tag alignment using our modeling approach on the MSD provides some evidence in favor of such coherence.

4.2. Prediction

Given the evidence presented for genre and style being the relatively most appropriate human categories, our second aim is to evaluate the predictive performance of the multi-modal model for genre and style, and we turn to the recently published extension of the MSD [9] for reference test/train splits and genre and style labels. In particular, we use the balanced splits defined in [9].

For the genre case, this results in 2000 labeled examples per genre and 15 genres, thus resulting in 30,000 songs. We estimate the predictive genre performance by 10-fold crossvalidation. Fig. 4 shows the per-label classification accuracy (perfect classification equals 1). The total genre classification performance is illustrated in Fig. 3a. The corresponding result for style classification, based on a total of 50,000 labeled examples, is shown in Fig. 3b. Both results are generated using T = 128 topics, 2000 Gibbs sweeps and predicting using the MAP estimate from the Gibbs sampler.

We first note that the combination of all modalities performs the best and significantly better than random as seen from Fig. 3, which is encouraging, and support the multimodal approach. It is furthermore noted that the tag modality is able to perform very well. This indicates that despite the possibly noisy user expressed view, the model is able to find structure in line with the taxonomy defined in the reference labels of [9]. More interesting is perhaps the audio and lyric modalities and the combination of the two. This shows that lyrics performs the worst for genre, possibly due to the missing data in some tracks, while the combination is significantly better. For style there is no significant difference between audio and lyrics.

Looking at the genre specific performance in Fig. 4 we find a significant difference between the modalities. It appears that the importance of the modalities is partly in line with the fundamentally different characteristics of each specific genre. For example 'latin' is driven by very characteristic lyrics. Further insight can be obtained by considering the confusion matrices which show some systematic pattern of error in the individual modalities, whereas the combined model shows a distinct diagonal structure, highlighting the benefits of multi-modal integration.

5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we proposed the multi-way LDA as a flexible model for analyzing and modeling multi-modal and heterogeneous music data in a large scale setting. Based on the analysis of tags and latent representation, we provided evidence for the common assumption that genre may be an acceptable proxy for cognitive categorization of (western) music. Finally, we demonstrated and analyzed the predictive performance of the generative model providing benchmark result for the Million Song Dataset, and a genre dependent performance was observed. In our current research, we are looking at purely supervised topic models trained for, e.g. genre prediction. In order to address truly multi-modal and multi-task scenarios such as [7], we are currently pursuing an extended probabilistic framework that include correlated topic models [28], multi-task models [29], and non-parametric priors [30].

6. REFERENCES

- L.K. Hansen, P. Ahrendt, and J. Larsen, "Towards cognitive component analysis," in AKRR05-International and Interdisciplinary Conference on Adaptive Knowledge Representation and Reasoning, 2005.
- [2] C. Xu, N.C. Maddage, and X. Shao, "Musical genre classification using support vector machines," *IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing*, pp. 429–432, 2003.
- [3] M. Hoffman, D. Blei, and P. Cook, "Easy as CBA: A simple probabilistic model for tagging music," *Proc. of ISMIR*, pp. 369–374, 2009.
- [4] F. Pachet and J.J. Aucouturier, "Improving timbre similarity: How high is the sky?," *Journal of negative results in speech and audio*, pp. 1–13, 2004.
- [5] Y. Kazuyoshi, M. Goto, K. Komatani, R. Ogata, and H.G. Okuno, "Hybrid collaborative and content-based music recommendation using probabilistic model with latent user preferences," in *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference* on Music Information Retrieval (ISMIR, 2006, pp. 296–301.
- [6] E. Law, B. Settles, and T. Mitchell, "Learning to tag from open vocabulary labels," *Machine Learning and Knowledge Discovery in Databases*, pp. 211–226, 2010.
- [7] J. Weston, S. Bengio, and P. Hamel, "Multi-Tasking with Joint Semantic Spaces for Large- Scale Music Annotation and Retrieval Multi-Tasking with Joint Semantic Spaces for Large-Scale Music Annotation and Retrieval," *Journal of New Music Research*, , no. November 2012, pp. 37–41, 2011.
- [8] T. Bertin-Mahieux, D.P.W. Ellis, B. Whitman, and P. Lamere, "The million song dataset," in *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Music Information Retrieval (ISMIR* 2011), 2011.
- [9] A. Schindler, R. Mayer, and A. Rauber, "Facilitating comprehensive benchmarking experiments on the million song dataset," in 13th International Conference on Music Information Retrieval (ISMIR 2012). 2012.
- [10] B. McFee and G. R. G. Lanckriet, "Hypergraph models of playlist dialects," in *Proceedings of the 13th International Society for Music Information Retrieval Conference*, Fabien Gouyon, Perfecto Herrera, Luis Gustavo Martins, and Meinard Müller, Eds. 2012, pp. 343–348, FEUP Edições.
- [11] J. Bu, S. Tan, C. Chen, C. Wang, H. Wu, L. Zhang, and X. He, "Music Recommendation by Unified Hypergraph: Combining Social Media Information and Music Content," pp. 391–400, 2010.
- [12] M. Mcvicar and T. de Bie, "CCA and a Multi-way Extension for Investigating Common Components between Audio, Lyrics and Tags.," in *CMMR*, 2012, number June, pp. 19–22.
- [13] J. Arenas-García, A. Meng, K.B. Petersen, T. Lehn-Schiøler, L.K. Hansen, and J. Larsen, *Unveiling Music Structure Via PLSA Similarity Fusion*, pp. 419–424, IEEE, 2007.
- [14] K. Yoshii and M. Goto, "Continuous pLSI and smoothing techniques for hybrid music recommendation," *International Society for Music Information Retrieval Conference*, pp. 339–344, 2009.
- [15] S. K., S. Narayanan, and S. Sundaram, "Acoustic topic model for audio information retrieval," pp. 2–5, 2009.
- [16] Zhi Zeng, Shuwu Zhang, Heping Li, W. Liang, and Haibo Zheng, "A novel approach to musical genre classification using probabilistic latent semantic analysis model," in *IEEE International Conference on Multimedia and Expo (ICME)*, 2009,

2009, pp. 486-489.

- [17] T. Bertin-Mahieux, "Clustering beat-chroma patterns in a large music database," in *International Society for Music Information Retrieval Conference*, 2010.
- [18] Y. Cho and L.K. Saul, "Learning dictionaries of stable autoregressive models for audio scene analysis," *Proceedings of the* 26th Annual International Conference on Machine Learning -ICML '09, pp. 1–8, 2009.
- [19] K. Seyerlehner, G. Widmer, and P. Knees, "Frame level audio similarity-a codebook approach," *Conference on Digital Audio Effects*, pp. 1–8, 2008.
- [20] D.M. Blei and M.I. Jordan, "Modeling annotated data," Proceedings of the 26th annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval, pp. 127–134, 2003.
- [21] D. M. Blei, A. Ng, and M. Jordan, "Latent Dirichlet allocation," *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, vol. 3, pp. 993–1022, 2003.
- [22] T.L. Griffiths and M. Steyvers, "Finding scientific topics.," Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, pp. 5228–35, Apr. 2004.
- [23] H.M. Wallach, I. Murray, Ruslan Salakhutdinov, and D. Mimno, "Evaluation methods for topic models," *Proceedings of the 26th Annual International Conference on Machine Learning - ICML '09*, no. d, pp. 1–8, 2009.
- [24] T. Hofmann, "Probabilistic latent semantic analysis," Proc. of Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence, UAI, p. 21, 1999.
- [25] J.H. Lee and J.S Downie, "Survey of music information needs, uses, and seeking behaviours: Preliminary findings," in *Proc.* of ISMIR, 2004, pp. 441–446.
- [26] J. Frow, Genre, Routledge, New York, NY, USA, 2005.
- [27] E. Law, "Human computation for music classification," in *Music Data Mining*, T. Li, M. Ogihara, and G. Tzanetakis, Eds., pp. 281–301. CRC Press, 2011.
- [28] S. Virtanen, Y. Jia, A. Klami, and T. Darrell, "Factorized Multi-Modal Topic Model," *auai.org*, 2010.
- [29] A. Faisal, J. Gillberg, J. Peltonen, G. Leen, and S. Kaski, "Sparse Nonparametric Topic Model for Transfer Learning," *dice.ucl.ac.be*.
- [30] Y.W. Teh, M.I. Jordan, M.J. Beal, and D.M. Blei, "Hierarchical dirichlet processes," *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, vol. 101, 2004.