DESIGN OF 2-D DOUBLY COMPLEMENTARY FILTERS BASED ON NONSYMMETRIC HALF-PLANE ALLPASS FILTERS

Yuan-Hau Yang and Ju-Hong Lee

National Taiwan University Graduate Institute of Communication Engineering No. 1, Sec. 4, Roosevelt Rd., Taipei, 10617, TAIWAN. d92942011@ntu.edu.tw, juhong@cc.ee.ntu.edu.tw

ABSTRACT

A parallel-connected structure based on recursive nonsymmetric half-plane (NSHP) digital allpass filters is presented for designing two-dimensional (2-D) recursive doubly complementary (DC) filters. First, the theory of 2-D recursive digital allpass filters (DAFs) with NSHP support region for filter coefficients is developed. Then, the design problem is appropriately formulated to result in a simple optimization problem that minimizes the phase error in the least-squares (L_2) sense with a closed-form solution. The novelty of the presented 2-D NSHP DAFs structure is that it possesses the advantage of better performance in designing DC filters over existing 2-D structures based on quarter plane (QP) DAFs and tremendously saves the computational complexity for designing sampling rate converters. Finally, a design example is provided for conducting illustration and comparison.

Index Terms— Doubly complementary, allpass filter, non-symmetric half-plane, stability.

1. INTRODUCTION

Recently, the extension of the 1-D allpass structure to the design of 2-D recursive digital filters has been widely considered in the literature [1], [2]. They presented the technique for the design of 2-D recursive circularly symmetric lowpass filters (CS-LPF) based on the parallel combination of allpass subfilters (PCAS) with quarter-plane (QP) support region. Two PCAS structures are cascaded to remove the unwanted passband for designing CS-LPF. However, the PCAS structure composed of QP DAFs is not as general as that composed of NSHP DAFs.

In this paper, 2-D DC filters, composed of parallel connected nonsymmetric half-plane (NSHP) allpass subfilters, are presented. It has been shown in [3] that 2-D recursive NSHP filters outperform 2-D recursive QP filters in terms of approximating more general frequency response specification. We first develop the results of 2-D recursive NSHP digital allpass filters (DAFs). The stability of the designed 2-D recursive NSHP DAFs is guaranteed by focusing the design problem on approximating the desired phase responses that satisfy some stability constraints on the phase of 2-D recursive filters. Several important properties of the developed 2-D recursive NSHP DAFs are investigated. Then, two 2-D recursive NSHP DAFs are parallel connected to develop the 2-D recursive DC filters (DCFs).

With prescribed phase characteristics, the design problem based on the phase error is formulated. After some algebraic manipulation, a linear objective function in the allpass coefficients is presented. A closed-form solution is derived to minimize the phase error in the least-squares sense efficiently and it can approximately satisfy the desired magnitude and approximately linear phase characteristics at the same time.

2. 2-D IIR NSHP ALLPASS FILTERS

2.1. Properties of 2-D Recursive NSHP Digital Allpass Filters

For a 2-D recursive DAF with order $M \times N$, its transfer function is given by

$$A(z_1, z_2) = z_1^{-M} z_2^{-N} \frac{D(z_1^{-1}, z_2^{-1})}{D(z_1, z_2)}$$
(1)

The above equation implies that the allpass function $A(z_1, z_2)$ is completely determined by the denominator polynomial. Let the phase response of $A(z_1, z_2)$ and that of $D(z_1, z_2)$ be $\theta(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ and $\phi(\omega_1, \omega_2)$, respectively, and we can obtain

$$\phi(\omega_1, \omega_2) = -\left[M\omega_1 + N\omega_2 + \theta(\omega_1, \omega_2)\right]/2 \qquad (2)$$

Here, we consider the 2-D IIR allpass filter with nonsymmetric half-plane (NSHP) support region. The denominator polynomial $D(z_1, z_2)$ of $A(z_1, z_2)$ is given by

$$D(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{m=0}^{M} d(m, 0) z_1^{-m} + \sum_{m=-M}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{N} d(m, n) z_1^{-m} z_2^{-n}$$
(3)

This work was supported by the National Science Council under Grant NSC95-2221-E002-187.

Based on the frequency response of (1), we investigate the frequency characteristics of 2-D recursive DAFs and present several important properties as follows:

Property 1. The phase response $\phi(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ of $D(e^{j\omega_1}, e^{j\omega_2})$ is equal to zero at the frequency points (termed as the crucial points Ω_{CP} in [2]) in the (ω_1, ω_2) plane.

Property 2. The frequency response of the 2-D recursive NSHP DAF $A(e^{j\omega_1}, e^{j\omega_2})$ of (1) is restricted to 1 or -1 at the CPs.

It is revealed by *Property 2* that some unwanted passbands or stopbands may be induced. Therefore, the values of M and N for the orders of the 2-D recursive NSHP DAFs must be appropriately specified to avoid the possible unwanted passbands or stopbands.

2.2. Stability of 2-D Recursive Allpass Filters

A necessary and sufficient condition that guarantees the stability of a 1-D IIR DAF [4] is extended to 2-D cases [5], i.e.

$$\int_0^{\pi} -\frac{d}{d\omega_1} \arg \left[A \left(e^{j\omega_1}, e^{j\omega_2} \right) \right] d\omega_1 = M\pi, \quad -\pi \le \omega_2 \le \pi$$
(4)

and

$$\int_{0}^{\pi} -\frac{d}{d\omega_{2}} \arg\left[A\left(e^{j\omega_{1}}, e^{j\omega_{2}}\right)\right] d\omega_{2} = N\pi, \quad -\pi \leq \omega_{1} \leq \pi$$
(5)

By enforcing a desired phase response $\theta_d(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ to satisfy these constraints, we can neglect the stability problem and focus on the minimization problem only.

3. DOUBLY COMPLEMENTARY (DC) FILTER PAIR

We consider the 2-D DC filters as follows:

$$G(e^{j\omega_1}, e^{j\omega_2}) = \frac{A_1(e^{j\omega_1}, e^{j\omega_2}) + A_2(e^{j\omega_1}, e^{j\omega_2})}{2} \quad (6)$$

and

$$H\left(e^{j\omega_{1}},e^{j\omega_{2}}\right) = \frac{A_{1}\left(e^{j\omega_{1}},e^{j\omega_{2}}\right) - A_{2}\left(e^{j\omega_{1}},e^{j\omega_{2}}\right)}{2} \quad (7)$$

Based on (6) and (7), the 2-D DC filters are implemented in the form of the sum and difference of two allpass filters as illustrated in Fig. 1. In this paper, the 2-D recursive NSHP DAF proposed in previous section is applied to construct the 2-D DC filter pair.

Let the frequency response of the 2-D recursive NSHP DAF of (1) be expressed by

$$A_i(e^{j\omega_1}, e^{j\omega_2}) = e^{j\theta_i(\omega_1, \omega_2)}, \quad i = 1, 2$$
(8)

Substituting (8) into (6) yields

$$G\left(e^{j\omega_{1}}, e^{j\omega_{2}}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \left[A_{1}\left(e^{j\omega_{1}}, e^{j\omega_{2}}\right) + A_{2}\left(e^{j\omega_{1}}, e^{j\omega_{2}}\right)\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[e^{j\theta_{1}(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2})} + e^{j\theta_{2}(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2})}\right]$$

$$= \cos\left\{\left[\theta_{1}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right) - \theta_{2}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)\right]/2\right\} e^{j\left[\theta_{1}(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}) + \theta_{2}(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2})\right]/2}$$

(9)



Fig. 1. Implementation of the 2-D doubly complementary filter pair.

Because of the equation given by (2), (9) is further rewritten as

$$G\left(e^{j\omega_{1}}, e^{j\omega_{2}}\right) = \cos\left[-\frac{M_{1}-M_{2}}{2}\omega_{1} - \frac{N_{1}-N_{2}}{2}\omega_{2} - \phi_{1}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right) + \phi_{2}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)\right] \times \exp\left\{j\left[-\frac{M_{1}+M_{2}}{2}\omega_{1} - \frac{N_{1}+M_{2}}{2}\omega_{2} - \phi_{1}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right) - \phi_{2}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)\right]\right\}$$
(10)

The frequency response of the complementary filter $H(z_1, z_2)$ can be obtained in the similar manners.

It is noted that $G\left(e^{j\omega_1},e^{j\omega_2}\right)$ and $H\left(e^{j\omega_1},e^{j\omega_2}\right)$ are simultaneously determined by $\theta_m\left(\omega_1,\omega_2\right)$ and $\theta_p\left(\omega_1,\omega_2\right)$, where

$$\theta_m(\omega_1, \omega_2) = -\frac{M_1 - M_2}{2}\omega_1 - \frac{N_1 - N_2}{2}\omega_2 -\phi_1(\omega_1, \omega_2) + \phi_2(\omega_1, \omega_2)$$
(11)

and

$$\theta_p(\omega_1, \omega_2) = -\frac{M_1 + M_2}{2} \omega_1 - \frac{N_1 + N_2}{2} \omega_2 -\phi_1(\omega_1, \omega_2) - \phi_2(\omega_1, \omega_2)$$
(12)

It is revealed in (11) and (12) that the phase responses of denominator polynomials $\phi_1(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ and $\phi_2(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ are also characterized by $\theta_m(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ and $\theta_p(\omega_1, \omega_2)$. Therefore, the design problem of DC filters $G(e^{j\omega_1}, e^{j\omega_2})$ and $H(e^{j\omega_1}, e^{j\omega_2})$ is equivalent to approximate the phase responses of denominators while the desired $\theta_{m,d}(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ and $\theta_{p,d}(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ are specified. As a result, the design problems can be formulated as follows:

$$\|arg\{D_i(e^{j\omega_1}, e^{j\omega_2})\} - \phi_{i,d}(\omega_1, \omega_2)\|^p, i = 1, 2$$
 (13)

where $||x||^p$ means the *p*-th norm of *x*.

4. PROPOSED DESIGN TECHNIQUE

In this section, we present a design technique for solving the resulting minimization problem of (13). With some algebraic manipulation, the objective function given by (13) can be rewritten as shown in the following:

$$Minimize \left\| \begin{array}{c} \sum d_i(m,n) \sin[m\omega_1 + n\omega_2] \\ (m,n) \in \mathbb{R}^{-(0,0)} \\ +\phi_{i,d}(\omega_1,\omega_2)] + \sin[\phi_{i,d}(\omega_1,\omega_2)] \end{array} \right\|^p$$
(14)



Fig. 2. Passband and stopband for the design of $G(e^{j\omega_1}, e^{j\omega_2})$.

(14) can be further formulated in the following matrix form:

$$Minimize \|\mathbf{U}_i \mathbf{d}_i - \mathbf{s}_i\|^p \tag{15}$$

where d_i represents an unknown coefficient vector given by

j

$$\mathbf{d}_{i} = [d_{i}(M, N), d_{i}(M-1, N), \dots, d_{i}(-M, N), d_{i}(M, N-1), d_{i}(M-1, N-1), \dots, d_{i}(-M, N-1), \dots, d_{i}(M, 0), d_{i}(M-1, 0), \dots, d_{i}(1, 0)]^{T}$$
(16)

where the superscript T is the matrix-transpose operation. Correspondingly, the *r*th row of the matrix \mathbf{U}_i in (15) can be expressed as shown in (17) where the frequency pair $(\omega_{1r}, \omega_{2r})$ represents the *r*th uniformly sampled frequency grid point in the interesting frequency band. The *r*th element of column vector \mathbf{s}_i is given by

$$\mathbf{s}_{i,r} = -\sin\left(\phi_{i,d}\left(\omega_{1r},\omega_{2r}\right)\right) \tag{18}$$

If p=2 in (15), i.e., a least-squares solution of the proposed objective function is considered, we can obtain the closed-form solution which minimizes $\|\mathbf{U}_i\mathbf{d}_i - \mathbf{s}_i\|^2$ as follows

$$\mathbf{d}_{i}^{=} \left(\mathbf{U}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{U}_{i} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{U}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{s}_{i}$$
(19)

5. APPLICATION IN SAMPLING STRUCTURE CONVERSION

It is shown that the diamond-shaped decimation/interpolation filter are good candidates for conversion processing between rectangular and hexagonal sampling structures because they allow a maximum resolution in the horizontal and vertical directions. The ideal diamond-shaped filter, which possesses quadrantal symmetry, is characterized in a quarter plane as illustrated in Fig. 2.

If we set $\omega_{1p} + \omega_{1s} = \omega_{2p} + \omega_{2s} = \pi$, the passband of the shifted version of $G(e^{j\omega_1}, e^{j\omega_2})$, i.e., $G(e^{j(\omega_1 - \pi)}, e^{j(\omega_2 - \pi)})$, is located in the passband of $H(e^{j\omega_1}, e^{j\omega_2})$. Under this condition, some useful properties that tremendously save the design complexity are presented in the following. From (6), we have

$$G(e^{j(\omega_1 - \pi)}, e^{j(\omega_2 - \pi)}) = \frac{1}{2} \left[A_1(-e^{j\omega_1}, -e^{j\omega_2}) + A_2(-e^{j\omega_1}, -e^{j\omega_2}) \right]$$
(20)

Combined with the complementary filter $H(e^{j\omega_1}, e^{j\omega_2})$ given by (7), (20) can be further rewritten as

$$G(e^{j(\omega_{1}-\pi)}, e^{j(\omega_{2}-\pi)}) = \begin{cases} H(e^{j\omega_{1}}, e^{j\omega_{2}}), & \text{for } M_{1}+N_{1}: \text{ even and } M_{2}+N_{2}: \text{ odd} \\ -H(e^{j\omega_{1}}, e^{j\omega_{2}}), & \text{for } M_{1}+N_{1}: \text{ odd and } M_{2}+N_{2}: \text{ even} \end{cases}$$
(21)

with

$$d_i(m,n) = 0, \text{ for } m+n \text{ is odd.}$$
(22)

 $G(e^{j\omega_1}, e^{j\omega_2})$ and its shifted version $G(e^{j(\omega_1-\pi)}, e^{j(\omega_2-\pi)})$ also possess the DC properties, i.e., the frequency response of $G(z_1, z_2)$ possesses the DC symmetry with respect to $(\omega_1, \omega_2) =$ $(\pi/2, \pi/2)$ in the first quarter of the frequency plane. This means that if $G(e^{j\omega_1}, e^{j\omega_2}) = 0$ in the stopband, and then the frequency response of $G(z_1, z_2)$ becomes 1 in the passband. Therefore, we only need to approximate the passband or stopband response in the design of $G(z_1, z_2)$. Moreover, (22) indicates that about half of $A_i(z_1, z_2)$'s coefficients are zero. Both advantages lead to tremendous savings in computational burden.

6. DESIGN EXAMPLE

In this section, we consider the design example of the diamondshaped filters illustrated in Fig. 2 with $\omega_{1p} = \pi/2$, $\omega_{2p} = \pi$, and $\omega_{1p} + \omega_{1s} = \omega_{2p} + \omega_{2s} = \pi$. Substituting the following desired responses

$$\theta_{m,d}\left(\omega_1,\omega_2\right) = 0\tag{23}$$

and

$$\theta_{p,d}(\omega_1,\omega_2) = -\frac{M_1 + M_2}{2}\omega_1 - \frac{N_1 + N_2}{2}\omega_2 \qquad (24)$$

into (11) and (12) yields the desired denominator phase responses for $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \Omega_p$. Then, the proposed design technique discussed in Section 4 is applied to find the coefficients of $A_1(z_1, z_2)$ and $A_2(z_1, z_2)$, respectively. The orders of two recursive NSHP DAFs are set to 4×2 and 3×2 , respectively. By solving the closed-form solution given by (19), $\mathbf{U}_{i,r} =$

 $\begin{bmatrix} \sin(M\omega_{1r} + N\omega_{2r} + \phi_{i,d}(\omega_{1r}, \omega_{2r})), \sin((M-1)\omega_{1r} + N\omega_{2r} + \phi_{i,d}(\omega_{1r}, \omega_{2r})), \dots, \sin(-M\omega_{1r} + N\omega_{2r} + \phi_{i,d}(\omega_{1r}, \omega_{2r})), \\ \sin(M\omega_{1r} + (N-1)\omega_{2r} + \phi_{i,d}(\omega_{1r}, \omega_{2r})), \sin((M-1)\omega_{1r} + (N-1)\omega_{2r} + \phi_{i,d}(\omega_{1r}, \omega_{2r})), \dots, \\ \sin(-M\omega_{1r} + (N-1)\omega_{2r} + \phi_{i,d}(\omega_{1r}, \omega_{2r})), \dots, \sin(M\omega_{1r} + \phi_{i,d}(\omega_{1r}, \omega_{2r})), \sin((M-1)\omega_{1r} + \phi_{i,d}(\omega_{1r}, \omega_{2r})), \dots, \\ \sin(\omega_{1r} + \phi_{i,d}(\omega_{1r}, \omega_{2r}))] \end{bmatrix}$ (17)



Fig. 3. Magnitude response of $G(z_1, z_2)$.

Fig. 3 shows the resultant magnitude response of the designed diamond-shaped filter. Table 1 lists the significant performance parameters defined as follows: passband magnitude mean-squared errors (PMSE), stopband magnitude mean-squared errors (SMSE), passband phase mean-squared errors (PPMSE_{*i*}), and stopband phase mean-squared errors (SPMSE_{*i*}). For comparison, the design example is also implemented by using the 2-D PCAS structure with QP support region presented in [1], [2]. The orders of two recursive QP DAFs are set to 7×2 and 6×2 , respectively. As shown in Table 1, the design using 2-D DAFs with NSHP support shows more satisfactory performances than that with QP support and confirms the generality of NSHP filters.

7. CONCLUSION

This paper has presented a technique for the design of sampling rate converters based on 2-D DAFs composed of 2-D NSHP DAFs. The design problem is firs formulated as a linear optimization problem of an appropriate objective function for the phase response using the least-squares (L_2) criteria.

	NSHP	QP
PMSE	7.79579×10^{-8}	5.13262×10^{-6}
SMSE	3.12723×10^{-4}	1.83256×10^{-3}
PPMSE ₁	3.03131×10^{-5}	1.56331×10^{-4}
PPMSE ₂	2.38336×10^{-4}	1.31271×10^{-3}
SPMSE ₁	3.01082×10^{-5}	1.55875×10^{-4}
SPMSE ₂	2.36940×10^{-4}	1.29814×10^{-3}
Stopband attenu-	21.47302	11.29495
ation (dB)		
No. of indepen-	19	21
dent coefficients		

Table 1. Significant performance parameters.

The spectral factorization method [3] is utilized to verify the stability of the designed 2-D NSHP DAFs. Very small stability errors indicate that the stability of our design has been guaranteed.

8. REFERENCES

- M. S. Anderson and S. S. Lawson, "Direct design of approximately linear phase (ALP) 2-D digital filters," *Electronics Letters*, vol. 29, no. 9, pp. 804–805, Apr. 1993.
- [2] H. Safiri, M. Ahmadi, and V. Ramachandran, "Design of stable, causal 2-D digital filters using real coefficient 2-D allpass building blocks," *IEEE Trans. Circuits and Systems II: Analog and Digital Signal Processing*, vol. 44, no. 5, pp. 409–412, May 1997.
- [3] M. P. Ekstrom and J. W. Woods, "Two-dimensional spectral factorization with applications in recursive digital filtering," *IEEE Trans. Acoust., Speech, Signal Processing*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 115–128, Apr. 1976.
- [4] Z. Zing, "A new method for digital all-pass filter design," *IEEE Trans. Acoust., Speech, Signal Processing*, vol. ASSP-35, pp. 1557–1564, Nov. 1987.
- [5] S. C. Pei and J. J. Shyu, "Eigenfilter design of 1-D and 2-D IIR digital all-pass filters," *IEEE Trans. Signal Processing*, vol. 42, no. 4, pp. 966–968, Apr. 1994.