



Fig. 1. Array structure of photodetectors: small squares represent the photodetectors for HR image and hatched squares represent the ones for LR image

2.2. HR image restoration problem

We shall consider the problem of restoring the HR image \mathbf{x} from the LR image \mathbf{y} . Since the problem is ill-defined, we impose a smoothness constraint on \mathbf{x} to uniquely determine \mathbf{x} . The constraint we imposed is the square sum of the discrete Laplacian of \mathbf{x} .

The discrete Laplacian of each x_{ij} is defined by $x_{i-1,j} + x_{i,j-1} - 4x_{ij} + x_{i,j+1} + x_{i+1,j}$. We here suppose that x_{ij} s at image boundaries $i = 0, i = 2M - 1, j = 0$, or $j = 2M - 1$ are under the Neuman boundary condition, that is, $x_{-1,j} = x_{0,j}, x_{i,-1} = x_{i,0}, x_{2M,j} = x_{2M-1,j}$, and $x_{i,2M} = x_{i,2M-1}$. The lexicographically ordered vector consisting of the discrete Laplacians of $\{x_{ij}\}$ is then expressed as [5]

$$P\mathbf{x} = (I \otimes P_s + P_s \otimes I)\mathbf{x}, \quad (4)$$

where I is the $(2M \times 2M)$ identity matrix, and P_s is the $(2M \times 2M)$ matrix defined by

$$P_s = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & & & \mathbf{0} \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & 1 & -2 & 1 \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

Then the square sum of the discrete Laplacians of \mathbf{x} can be represented by the quadratic form $\mathbf{x}^T Q \mathbf{x}$, where the $(4M^2 \times 4M^2)$ matrix Q is defined by

$$Q = P^T P \\ = I \otimes (P_s^T P_s) + 2P_s \otimes P_s + (P_s^T P_s) \otimes I. \quad (6)$$

To simplify the restoration problem, we suppose that the variance of noise ε^2 is negligibly small, such as a quantization

error. Then Equation (1) is approximately rewritten as

$$\mathbf{y} = H\mathbf{x}. \quad (7)$$

Under the condition (7) we shall estimate the HR image \mathbf{x} from the LR image \mathbf{y} by minimizing the square sum of the discrete Laplacian $\mathbf{x}^T Q \mathbf{x}$. The estimation problem is formulated as

$$\arg \min_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x}^T Q \mathbf{x} \quad \text{subject to } \mathbf{y} = H\mathbf{x}. \quad (8)$$

We solve the problem (8) by using the Lagrange multiplier method. Let the Lagrangian L be

$$L(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathbf{x}^T Q \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T (\mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x}), \quad (9)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda_{00}, \lambda_{01}, \dots, \lambda_{0,M-1}, \lambda_{10}, \dots, \lambda_{M-1,M-1})^T$ is the M^2 dimensional vector consisting of the Lagrange multipliers. Then we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} L(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = 2Q\mathbf{x} - H^T \boldsymbol{\lambda} = \mathbf{0} \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\lambda}} L(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}. \quad (11)$$

Since $Q\mathbf{1} = P^T P\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0}$, the matrix Q is found to be singular. Therefore, it is difficult to straightly solve the system of equations (10) and (11). We thus perform the similar transformations of Q and H by the discrete cosine transform (DCT) matrix, and we show that they become sparse matrices.

2.3. Similar transformations of Q and H

We put the one dimensional (1-D) DCT matrix of size M as W_M . The ij element of W_M is

$$(W_M)_{ij} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{M}} c(i) \cos\left(\frac{\pi i(j+0.5)}{M}\right) \quad (12)$$

with

$$c(i) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & i = 0 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

We should note that W_M is a unitary matrix. We transform the variables \mathbf{x} , \mathbf{y} , and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ into $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}$, and $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ by the two dimensional (2-D) DCT matrix as follows:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = (W_{2M} \otimes W_{2M})\mathbf{x} \quad (14)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{y}} = (W_M \otimes W_M)\mathbf{y} \quad (15)$$

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = (W_M \otimes W_M)\boldsymbol{\lambda}. \quad (16)$$

The equations (10) and (11) can be rewritten as

$$2\tilde{Q}\tilde{\mathbf{x}} - \tilde{H}^T \tilde{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = \mathbf{0} \quad (17)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{y}} - \tilde{H}\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{0}, \quad (18)$$

where the matrices \tilde{Q} and \tilde{H} are the similar transformations of Q and H defined by

$$\tilde{Q} = (W_{2M} \otimes W_{2M})Q(W_{2M} \otimes W_{2M})^T \quad (19)$$

$$\tilde{H} = (W_M \otimes W_M)H(W_{2M} \otimes W_{2M})^T. \quad (20)$$

We shall show that \tilde{Q} and \tilde{H} are sparse matrices.

We note that P_s can be diagonalized by the DCT matrix as [5]

$$\tilde{P}_s = W_{2M}P_sW_{2M}^T = \text{diag}\{\tilde{p}_0, \tilde{p}_0, \dots, \tilde{p}_{2M-1}\}, \quad (21)$$

with

$$\tilde{p}_i = 2 \left(1 - \cos \left(\frac{\pi i}{2M} \right) \right). \quad (22)$$

Using (6) and (21), we can straightforwardly show that \tilde{Q} is a diagonal matrix as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Q} &= I \otimes (\tilde{P}_s^T \tilde{P}_s) + 2\tilde{P}_s \otimes \tilde{P}_s + (\tilde{P}_s^T \tilde{P}_s) \otimes I \\ &= \text{diag}\{\tilde{q}_{00}, \tilde{q}_{01}, \dots, \tilde{q}_{0,2M-1}, \tilde{q}_{10}, \dots, \tilde{q}_{2M-1,2M-1}\} \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

with

$$\tilde{q}_{ij} = (\tilde{p}_i + \tilde{p}_j)^2. \quad (24)$$

We can rewrite \tilde{H} as

$$\tilde{H} = \tilde{H}_s \otimes \tilde{H}_s, \quad (25)$$

where we put

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_s &= W_M H_s W_{2M}^T \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{h}_0 & & & & 0 \\ & \tilde{h}_1 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \tilde{h}_{M-1} & \\ & & & & 0 & \tilde{h}_{M+1} & \ddots \\ & & & & & & & \tilde{h}_{2M-1} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

with

$$\tilde{h}_i = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\pi i}{4M}\right) & 0 \leq i \leq M-1 \\ 0 & i = M, 2M \\ -\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\pi i}{4M}\right) & M+1 \leq i \leq 2M-1. \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

We see from (23) and (26) that \tilde{Q} and \tilde{H} are sparse matrices.

2.4. Fast computation by the DCT

Here we show that the solution of the system of Equations (17) and (18) can be written in a scalar form. Using (26), we can rewrite Equation (18) in a scalar form as

$$\tilde{y}_{kl} = \begin{cases} \tilde{h}_0 \tilde{h}_0 \tilde{x}_{00} & k = l = 0 \\ \tilde{h}_k \tilde{h}_l \tilde{x}_{kl} + \tilde{h}_k \tilde{h}_{2M-l} \tilde{x}_{k,2M-l} \\ \quad + \tilde{h}_{2M-k} \tilde{h}_l \tilde{x}_{2M-k,l} \\ \quad + \tilde{h}_{2M-k} \tilde{h}_{2M-l} \tilde{x}_{2M-k,2M-l} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

From the top equation in (28), we can straightforwardly determine \tilde{x}_{00} as

$$\tilde{x}_{00} = \frac{\tilde{y}_{00}}{\tilde{h}_0^2}. \quad (29)$$

Therefore, we shall consider the case of $i \neq 0$ or $j \neq 0$ in the following. Substituting (23) and (25) into (17) gives

$$2\tilde{q}_{ij} \tilde{x}_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & i = M \text{ or } j = M \\ \tilde{h}_i \tilde{h}_j \tilde{\lambda}_{\kappa(i)\kappa(j)} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

where we put

$$\kappa(i) = \begin{cases} i & 0 \leq i \leq M \\ 2M - i & M + 1 \leq i \leq 2M - 1. \end{cases} \quad (31)$$

Since $\tilde{q}_{ij} \neq 0$, Equation (30) is rewritten as

$$\tilde{x}_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & i = M \text{ or } j = M \\ \frac{\tilde{h}_i \tilde{h}_j \tilde{\lambda}_{\kappa(i)\kappa(j)}}{2\tilde{q}_{ij}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (32)$$

Putting (32) into the bottom equation of (28), we have

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{kl} = \frac{2\tilde{y}_{kl}}{D_{kl}}, \quad (33)$$

where we put

$$\begin{aligned} D_{kl} &= \tilde{h}_k^2 \tilde{h}_l^2 \tilde{q}_{kl}^{-1} + \tilde{h}_k^2 \tilde{h}_{2M-l}^2 \tilde{q}_{k,2M-l}^{-1} + \tilde{h}_{2M-k}^2 \tilde{h}_l^2 \tilde{q}_{2M-k,l}^{-1} \\ &\quad + \tilde{h}_{2M-k}^2 \tilde{h}_{2M-l}^2 \tilde{q}_{2M-k,2M-l}^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Here we used the property that $D_{\kappa(i),\kappa(j)} = D_{ij}$ for $0 \leq i, j \leq 2M-1$. Substituting (33) into (30), and summarizing it together with the result for the case $i = j = 0$ given by Equation (29), we have

$$\tilde{x}_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{\tilde{y}_{00}}{\tilde{h}_0 \tilde{h}_0} & i = j = 0 \\ 0 & i = M \text{ or } j = M \\ \frac{\tilde{h}_i \tilde{h}_j}{\tilde{q}_{ij} D_{ij}} \tilde{y}_{\kappa(i)\kappa(j)} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (35)$$

This equation shows that the analytical solution of the HR image restoration problem can be written in a scalar form.

Now we describe the algorithm of the proposed method in Fig. 2. We can compute $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ from $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}$ by using (35) in $O(M^2)$. The DCT of \mathbf{y} and the inverse DCT of $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ can be computed in $O(M^2 \log M)$. Therefore, the proposed method can compute the HR image \mathbf{x} from the LR image \mathbf{y} in $O(M^2 \log M)$ processing time.

3. SIMULATION RESULTS

In this section, we compared the restoration performances of the cubic spline interpolation and proposed methods. All simulations were done on an IBM PC/AT compatible computer

1. Compute the DCT of \mathbf{y} by Equation (15) to obtain $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}$
2. Compute $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ from $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}$ by Equation (35)
3. Compute the inverse DCT of $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ by Equation (14) to obtain \mathbf{x}

Fig. 2. Algorithm of the proposed method

with an Intel Pentium 4 2.4 GHz and 512 Mbyte DRAM's. We used eight images of size (256×256) with 8 bit grayscale. We generated the (128×128) LR image \mathbf{y} from the original (256×256) HR image \mathbf{x} by using Equation (7). Then we restored the (256×256) HR image from \mathbf{y} by the cubic spline interpolation and the proposed methods. Fig. 3 shows the restored images of "barbara". The restored image by the proposed method seems to be more "high-passed" than the other. We then quantitatively measured the restoration performance of each method by the peak signal to noise ratio (PSNR) defined by

$$\text{PSNR} = 10 \log_{10} \left(\frac{255^2}{\frac{1}{4M^2} \sum_{i=0}^{2M-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2M-1} e_{ij}^2} \right), \quad (36)$$

where e_{ij} is the difference of the pixel value between the original and restored images. The PSNRs of the proposed method were superior to that of the cubic spline interpolation method in all the eight images we tested. The average PSNRs of the proposed and the cubic spline interpolation method were 28.36 dB and 28.08 dB, respectively. The computation times of the proposed and cubic spline interpolation methods were 0.011 sec and 0.0052 sec, respectively. The proposed method achieves better restoration performance at the expense of an increase of computation time.



(a)



(b)

Fig. 3. Restored images of "barbara" by using (a) the proposed method (PSNR = 28.06dB) and (b) the cubic spline interpolation method (PSNR = 27.86dB)

4. CONCLUSION

We derived the HR image restoration method from the down-sampled LR image by using the DCT. The restoration performance of the proposed method is superior to that of the cubic spline interpolation at the expense of an increase of computation time.

5. REFERENCES

- [1] S. C. Park, M. K. Park, and M. G. Kang, "Super-resolution image reconstruction -a technical overview-," *IEEE Signal Processing Mag.*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 21–36, May 2003.
- [2] J.H.Shin, J.H.Jung, and J.K.Paik, "Regularized iterative image interpolation and its application to spatially scalable coding," *IEEE Trans. on Consumer Electronics*, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 1042–1047, August 1998.
- [3] S.E.El-Khamy, M.M.Hadhoud, M.I.Dessouky, B.M.Salam, and F.E.Abd El-Samie, "Efficient implementation of image interpolation as an inverse problem," *Digit. Signal Process.*, vol. 15, pp. 137–152, 2005.
- [4] A. Rosenfeld and A. C. Kak, *Digital Picture Processing*, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
- [5] F.O'Sullivan, "Discretized laplacian smoothing by fourier methods," *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, vol. 86, pp. 634–642, Sep. 1991.