COEFFICIENT SYMMETRY FOR IMPLEMENTING ODD-ORDER LAGRANGE-TYPE VARIABLE FRACTIONAL-DELAY FILTERS

Tian-Bo Deng

Department of Information Science Faculty of Science, Toho University Miyama 2-2-1, Funabashi, Chiba 274-8510, Japan

E-mail: deng@is.sci.toho-u.ac.jp

ABSTRACT

Lagrange-type variable fractional-delay (VFD) filters are simple and fundamental tools for high-resolution image interpolation. In this paper, we first reveal and theoretically prove the coefficient-symmetry of odd-order Lagrange-type VFD filters, and then exploit the coefficient-symmetry in implementing the VFD filters. We show that the odd-order Lagrange-type VFD filters can be efficiently implemented as the Farrow structure and even-odd structure, whose subfilters have mostly symmetric or antisymmetric coefficients. Thus, the storage cost for the subfilter coefficients can be reduced by 50%, and the number of multiplications required for VFD filtering can also be reduced by 50%, which facilitates high-speed signal processing.

1. INTRODUCTION

Digital filters with variable fractional group-delay (or phase-delay) are referred to as variable fractional-delay (VFD) digital filters, which have been found useful in various signal processing applications such as comb filter design, digital communications, high-performance speech coding, and sampling rate conversion. Among the developed methods for designing VFD filters [1]-[6], frequency-domain approaches can achieve higher design accuracy than time-domain approaches using interpolating polynomials [1]. However, because polynomial interpolator can be used to derive a simple Lagrange-type VFD FIR filter, which exhibits the maximally flat delay at low frequencies, the Lagrange-type VFD filter is still an attractive candidate for many applications where the digital signal to be delayed (or interpolated) contains relatively low frequency components [1, 2].

In [3], we have proved the coefficient-symmetry of even-order Lagrange-type VFD filters and demonstrated that the coefficient-symmetry can be exploited for efficiently implementing even-order Lagrange-type VFD filters. In this paper, we first reveal and theoretically prove the coefficient-symmetry of odd-order Lagrange-type VFD FIR filters, and then show that the coefficient-symmetry can be efficiently exploited for implementing odd-order Lagrange-type VFD filters as the well-known Farrow structure and the more efficient *even-odd* structure whose subfilters mostly have either symmetric or antisymmetric coefficients. This leads to a 50% reduction of the hardware cost for storing the subfilter coefficients. Furthermore, the number of multiplications required in the VFD filtering process can also be reduced by 50%; thus fast on-line tuning is possible.

2. COEFFICIENT-SYMMETRY

M.

The Lagrange-type VFD filter can be expressed as

$$H(z,p) = \sum_{m=-N_2}^{N_1} c_m(p) z^{-m}$$
(1)

with coefficients

$$c_m(p) = \frac{\prod_{i=-N_2, i \neq m}^{N_1} (p-i)}{(-1)^{N_1 - m} (N_1 - m)! (N_2 + m)!}$$
(2)

where p denotes the variable fractional-delay, $p \in [-N_2, N_1]$, N_1 and N_2 are positive integers. If $N_1 = N_2$, then the VFD filter order $N = 2N_1$ is even [3], and if $N_1 = N_2 + 1$, then the VFD filter order $N = N_1 + N_2 = 2N_2 + 1$ is odd. Since odd-order Lagrangetype VFD filters are also useful in signal processing applications, it is necessary to develop new coefficient-symmetries for efficiently implementing the odd-order Lagrange-type VFD filters. This section reveals the coefficient-symmetry and provides a rigorous proof.

For N odd, the VFD filter coefficients $c_m(p)$ in (2) can be expressed as normal 1-D polynomials of the VFD parameter p,

$$c_m(p) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} b(m,k)p^k$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{K} b(m,2k)p^{2k} + \sum_{k=0}^{K} b(m,2k+1)p^{2k+1}$$
(3)

with

$$K = \frac{N-1}{2} = N_2, \qquad -N_2 \le m \le N_1.$$

Therefore, we have the following theorem.

....

<u>Theorem</u>: The coefficients of the polynomial (3) have the symmetry

$$\begin{cases} b(-m,2k) = b(m,2k), & \text{for } -N_2 \le m \le N_2 \\ b(m,2k) = 0, & \text{for } m = N_1 = N_2 + 1 \\ b(m,0) = \delta(m), & \text{for } -N_2 \le m \le N_1 \end{cases}$$
(4)

where $\delta(m)$ is the unit impulse. Furthermore,

$$b(m, N) = -b(-m+1, N), \text{ for } -N_2 \le m \le N_1$$
 (5)

Proof: see appendix.

3. VFD FILTER IMPLEMENTATION

The coefficient-symmetries (4) and (5) can be exploited for efficiently implementing odd-order Lagrange-type VFD filters as the Farrow structure and even-odd structure as follows. Substituting (25) of the appendix into (1) yields

$$H(z,p) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{K} F_k(z) p^{2k} + \sum_{k=1}^{K+1} G_k(z) p^{2k-1}$$
(6)

where the subfilters $F_k(z)$ and $G_k(z)$ are defined as

$$F_k(z) = \sum_{m=-N_2}^{N_2} b(m, 2k) z^{-m}, \qquad k = 1, 2, \cdots, K$$
$$G_k(z) = \sum_{m=-N_2}^{N_1} b(m, 2k-1) z^{-m}, \qquad k = 1, 2, \cdots, (K+1).$$
(7)

Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 illustrate the Farrow structure $(N_2 = 1)$ and evenodd structure $(N_2 = 2)$. The subfilters have the following interesting properties.

1) The order of $F_k(z)$ is not $(2N_2 + 1)$, but $2N_2$ because

$$b(N_1, 2k) = 0,$$
 for $k = 1, 2, \cdots, K$

which reduces one addition and one multiplication required for computing the output of $F_k(z)$.

- 2) The subfilters $F_k(z)$ are zero-phase because their coefficients are symmetric, each $F_k(z)$ contains only $(N_2 + 1)$ independent coefficients, and only $(N_2 + 1)$ multiplications are required for obtaining the output. Hence, the number of multiplications is reduced by 50%,
- 3) The last subfilter $G_{K+1}(z)$ is linear-phase because it has antisymmetric coefficients. Consequently, the number of multiplications required for computing the output of $G_{K+1}(z)$ can be reduced by 50%.

Odd-Order Example

To illustrate the coefficient properties (4) and (5), we consider the case $N_2 = 2$, i.e., $N_1 = 3$, and the order of the Lagrange-type VFD filter is N = 5. For simplicity, we write the coefficients b(m, k) in matrix form as

$$\mathbf{B}_o = [b(m,k)]$$

where $-2 \le m \le 3$, and $0 \le k \le 5$. Applying the Matlab function *poly* to (2) gets the coefficient matrix

$$\mathbf{B}_{o} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0.050 & -0.042 & -0.042 & 0.042 & -0.008 \\ 0 & -0.500 & 0.667 & -0.042 & -0.167 & 0.042 \\ 1 & -0.333 & -1.250 & 0.417 & 0.250 & -0.083 \\ 0 & 1.000 & 0.667 & -0.583 & -0.167 & 0.083 \\ 0 & -0.250 & -0.042 & 0.292 & 0.042 & -0.042 \\ 0 & 0.033 & 0 & -0.042 & 0 & 0.008 \end{bmatrix}$$

By observing each column of \mathbf{B}_o , we know that the coefficient matrix \mathbf{B}_o satisfies the coefficient-symmetry stated in (4) and (5).

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have revealed and rigorously proved the coefficientsymmetry of odd-order Lagrange-type VFD filters. Through exploiting the coefficient-symmetry, the odd-order Lagrange-type VFD filters can be efficiently implemented as the Farrow structure and evenstructure whose subfilters have mostly either symmetric or antisymmetric coefficients. As a result, the hardware cost for storing the independent subfilter coefficients and the number of multiplications required for computing the subfilter outputs can be reduced by 50%, which facilitates high-speed VFD filtering.

5. APPENDIX PROOF OF THEOREM

We first consider the case $m = N_1$. If $m = N_1$, then (2) becomes

$$c_m(p) = c_{N_1}(p) = \frac{\prod_{i=-N_2}^{N_2} (p-i)}{(-1)^{N_1 - N_1} (N_1 - N_1)! (N_2 + N_1)!}$$
$$= \frac{p \prod_{i=1}^{N_2} (p^2 - i^2)}{N!}$$

which contains only odd-degree terms like p, p^3, p^5, \cdots, p^N . Therefore, it is clear from (3) that

$$b(N_1, 2k) = 0,$$
 for $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, K.$ (8)

Next, let us consider the relation between b(m, 2k) and b(-m, 2k) for $-N_2 \le m \le N_2$. In this case, because $m \ne N_1$, the expression (2) reduces to

$$c_m(p) = \frac{(p - N_1) \prod_{i=-N_2, i \neq m}^{N_2} (p - i)}{(-1)^{N_1 - m} (N_1 - m)! (N_2 + m)!}.$$
(9)

For simplicity, we denote the numerator of (9) as

$$Q_m(p) = (p - N_1) \prod_{i=-N_2, i \neq m}^{N_2} (p - i)$$
(10)

and the denominator as

$$\lambda_m = (-1)^{N_1 - m} (N_1 - m)! (N_2 + m)!.$$
(11)

Substituting $N_1 = N_2 + 1$ into (11), we can rewrite λ_m as

$$\lambda_m = (-1)^{N_1 - m} (N_2 + 1 - m)! (N_2 + m)!$$

= $(-1)^{N_1 - m} (N_2 - m)! (N_2 + m)! (N_2 + 1 - m)$ (12)
= $(-1)^{N_1 - m} (N_2 - m)! (N_2 + m)! (N_1 - m).$

Below, we consider the cases m = 0 and $m \neq 0$, but $m \neq N_1$. First, if m = 0, then $Q_m(p)$ can be further simplified as

$$Q_m(p) = Q_0(p) = (p - N_1) \prod_{i=1}^{N_2} (p^2 - i^2)$$
(13)

and λ_m becomes

$$\lambda_m = \lambda_0 = (-1)^{N_1} (N_2!)^2 N_1.$$

Hence,

$$c_0(p) = \frac{Q_0(p)}{\lambda_0} = \frac{(p - N_1) \prod_{i=1}^{N_2} (p^2 - i^2)}{(-1)^{N_1} (N_2!)^2 N_1}.$$
 (14)

Obviously, $c_0(p)$ contains both even- and odd-degree terms of p (also including a constant term).

If $m \neq 0$, then $Q_m(p)$ in (10) can be rewritten as

$$Q_m(p) = (p - N_1)(p + m) \cdot p \prod_{i=1, i \neq |m|}^{N_2} (p^2 - i^2).$$
(15)

Denoting

$$O_m(p) = p \prod_{i=1, i \neq |m|}^{N_2} (p^2 - i^2)$$

we have

$$Q_m(p) = [(p^2 - N_1m) - (N_1 - m)p]O_m(p)$$

and thus

$$c_m(p) = \frac{Q_m(p)}{\lambda_m} = \frac{\left[(p^2 - N_1m) - (N_1 - m)p\right]O_m(p)}{(-1)^{N_1 - m}(N_2 - m)!(N_2 + m)!(N_1 - m)}.$$
(16)

Since $O_m(p)$ contains only odd-degree terms, $c_m(p)$ can be separated to even- and odd-degree terms as

$$c_m(p) = c_m^{\rm e}(p) + c_m^{\rm o}(p)$$
 (17)

with

$$c_{m}^{e}(p) = \frac{-(N_{1} - m)p \cdot O_{m}(p)}{(-1)^{N_{1} - m}(N_{2} - m)!(N_{2} + m)!(N_{1} - m)}$$

$$= \frac{-p \cdot O_{m}(p)}{(-1)^{N_{1} - m}(N_{2} - m)!(N_{2} + m)!}$$
(18)
$$c_{m}^{o}(p) = \frac{(p^{2} - N_{1}m)O_{m}(p)}{(-1)^{N_{1} - m}(N_{2} - m)!(N_{2} + m)!(N_{1} - m)}$$

where
$$c_m^{e}(p)$$
 and $c_m^{o}(p)$ represent the even- and odd-degree terms of p in $c_m(p)$, respectively. Similarly, we obtain from (17) and (18)

that

$$c_{-m}(p) = c_{-m}^{e}(p) + c_{-m}^{o}(p)$$
(19)

with

$$c^{\circ}_{-m}(p) = \frac{-pO_{-m}(p)}{(-1)^{N_1+m}(N_2+m)!(N_2-m)!}$$

$$c^{\circ}_{-m}(p) = \frac{(p^2+N_1m)O_{-m}(p)}{(-1)^{N_1+m}(N_2+m)!(N_2-m)!(N_1+m)}.$$
(20)

By considering

$$O_{-m}(p) = p \prod_{i=1, i \neq |-m|}^{N_2} (p^2 - i^2) = p \prod_{i=1, i \neq |m|}^{N_2} (p^2 - i^2) = O_m(p)$$

and

$$(-1)^{N_1+m} = (-1)^{N_1-m} \cdot (-1)^{2m} = (-1)^{N_1-m}$$

it is clear from (18) and (20) that

$$c_{m}^{e}(p) = c_{-m}^{e}(p) = \frac{-p^{2} \prod_{i=1, i \neq |m|}^{N_{2}} (p^{2} - i^{2})}{(-1)^{N_{1} - m} (N_{2} - m)! (N_{2} + m)!}$$
(21)

and

$$c_{m}^{o}(p) = \frac{p(p^{2} - N_{1}m) \prod_{i=1, i \neq |m|}^{N_{2}} (p^{2} - i^{2})}{(-1)^{N_{1} - m} (N_{2} - m)! (N_{2} + m)! (N_{1} - m)}$$
$$p(p^{2} + N_{1}m) \prod_{i=1, i \neq |m|}^{N_{2}} (p^{2} - i^{2})$$
$$c_{-m}^{o}(p) = \frac{p(p^{2} + N_{1}m) \prod_{i=1, i \neq |m|}^{N_{2}} (p^{2} - i^{2})}{(-1)^{N_{1} - m} (N_{2} - m)! (N_{2} + m)! (N_{1} + m)}.$$

Equation (21) indicates that $c_m(p)$ and $c_{-m}(p)$ have exactly the same even-degree terms of p, namely,

$$b(-m, 2k) = b(m, 2k),$$
 for $-N_2 \le m \le N_2$

but no constant terms, i.e., in (3),

$$b(m,0) = 0,$$
 for $m \neq 0.$ (22)

For m = 0, we have

$$c_0(p) = \sum_{k=0}^{K} b(0, 2k) p^{2k} + \sum_{k=0}^{K} b(0, 2k+1) p^{2k+1}.$$
 (23)

Substituting p = 0 into (14), we obtain

$$c_0(0) = \frac{(-N_1) \cdot (-1)^{N_2} \prod_{i=1}^{N_2} i^2}{(-1)^{N_1} (N_2!)^2 N_1} = 1.$$

On the other hand, substituting p = 0 into (23) leads to

$$c_0(0) = b(0, 0).$$

b(0,0) = 1.

As a result,

(24)

Combining (22) with (24) and (8) yields

$$b(m, 0) = \delta(m), \text{ for } -N_2 \le m \le N_1.$$

Hence, the $c_m(p)$ in (3) can be simplified as

$$c_m(p) = \delta(m) + \sum_{k=1}^{K} b(m, 2k) p^{2k} + \sum_{k=0}^{K} b(m, 2k+1) p^{2k+1}.$$
 (25)

Summarizing the above results yields (4).

The coefficient-symmetry (4) is only for even-degree terms, i.e., the terms including $p^0, p^2, p^4, \cdots, p^{2N_2}$. Next, let us consider the

odd-degree terms, i.e., the terms including p, p^3, p^5, \cdots, p^N . We start with

$$c_m(p) = \frac{\prod_{i=-N_2, i \neq m}^{N_1} (p-i)}{(-1)^{N_1 - m} (N_1 - m)! (N_2 + m)!} = \frac{Q_m(p)}{\lambda_m}$$
(26)

and consider the relation between $c_m(p)$ and $c_{-m+1}(p)$ for $-N_2 \le m \le N_1$, from which we can prove the coefficient-symmetry (5) for the *N*th degree terms p^N .

Since

$$\lambda_{-m+1} = (-1)^{N_1+m-1} (N_1 + m - 1)! (N_2 - m + 1)!$$

= $(-1)^{N_1-m} \cdot (-1)^{2m-1} \cdot (N_2 + m)! (N_1 - m)!$
= $-(-1)^{N_1-m} (N_1 - m)! (N_2 + m)!$
= $-\lambda_m$

we have

$$c_{-m+1}(p) = \frac{Q_{-m+1}(p)}{\lambda_{-m+1}} = \frac{Q_{-m+1}(p)}{-\lambda_m}$$
(27)

with

$$Q_{-m+1}(p) = \prod_{i=-N_2, i \neq (-m+1)}^{N_1} (p-i).$$

By performing the substitution

$$i' = -i + 1$$

we obtain

$$Q_{-m+1}(p) = \prod_{i'=(N_2+1), i'\neq m}^{-N_1+1} (p+i'-1) = \prod_{i=-N_2, i\neq m}^{N_1} [p+(i-1)].$$

As a result,

$$c_{-m+1}(p) = \frac{\prod_{i=-N_2, i \neq m}^{N_1} [p + (i-1)]}{-\lambda_m}.$$
 (28)

It is clear from (26) and (28) that a general coefficient-symmetry does not exist for the coefficients of the polynomials $c_m(p)$ and $c_{-m+1}(p)$, but only one exception is the case for the Nth degree terms of p. Since $Q_m(p)$ and $Q_{-m+1}(p)$ have the same coefficient for the term p^N , i.e., the coefficient is 1, thus the coefficients of $c_m(p)$ and $c_{-m+1}(p)$ for p^N are $1/\lambda_m$ and $(-1/\lambda_m)$, respectively. Consequently, we know from (3) that

$$b(m,N) = -b(-m+1,N) = \frac{1}{\lambda_m}$$

which corresponds to (5). This completes the proof of the theorem.



Fig. 1. Farrow structure for odd-order case ($N_2 = 1$).



Fig. 2. Even-odd structure for odd-order case $(N_2 = 2)$.

6. REFERENCES

- G.-S. Liu and C.-H. Wei, "A new variable fractional sample delay filter with nonlinear interpolation," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. II*, vol. 39, pp. 123-126, Feb. 1992.
- [2] T.-B. Deng, "High-resolution image interpolation using twodimensional Lagrange-type variable fractional-delay filter," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Nonlinear Theory and its Applications*, Bruges, Belgium, Oct. 18-21, 2005, pp. 214-217.
- [3] T.-B. Deng, "Coefficient symmetry and efficient implementation of Lagrange-type variable fractional-delay filters," in *Proc. IEEE Fifth International Conference on Information, Communications and Signal Processing*, Bangkok, Thailand, Dec. 6-9, 2005, pp. 77-80.
- [4] W.-S. Lu and T.-B. Deng, "An improved weighted leastsquares design for variable fractional delay FIR filters," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. II*, vol. 46, pp. 1035-1040, Aug. 1999.
- [5] C.-C. Tseng, "Design of 1-D and 2-D variable fractional delay allpass filters using weighted least-squares method," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I*, vol. 49, pp. 1413-1422, Oct. 2002.
- [6] T.-B. Deng and Y. Nakagawa, "SVD-based design and new structures for variable fractional-delay digital filters," *IEEE Trans. Signal Processing*, vol. 52, pp. 2513-2527, Sept. 2004.